
BIBLIOGRAFÍA SOBRE DISCURSO CIENTÍFICO-ACADÉMICO Y ESCRITURA

versión 08.07¹ (revisada)

por Federico Navarro

www.discurso.wordpress.com

Nota: esta selección bibliográfica se confecciona siguiendo algunos objetivos e intereses particulares, y por tanto es incompleta y poco balanceada. En particular, se incluyen muchos trabajos sobre **género discursivo**, **lenguas profesionales**, **evaluación** y **reseñas académicas**; se recogen sobre todo investigaciones dentro de la **tradicción anglosajona e hispánica**; y se incluyen numerosos textos provenientes de la **lingüística sistémico-funcional** y la **pragmática histórica**.

1. Adam, J.-M. (1991). *Les textes: Types et prototypes*. Paris: Nathan
2. Ädel, A. (2008). Metadiscourse across three varieties of English: American, British, and advanced-learner English. En U. Connor, E. Nagelhout & W. Rozycki (Eds.), *Contrastive Rhetoric: reaching to Intercultural Rhetoric*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
3. Adelstein, A., & Kuguel, I. (2004). *Los textos académicos en el nivel universitario*. Los Polvorines: Universidad de General Sarmiento
4. Alamargot, D., Terrier, P., & Cellier, J.-M. (Eds.). (2007). *Written documents in the workplace. Studies in writing*: Elsevier
5. Albano de Vázquez, H. (1999). El resumen de textos de carácter académico. En M. T. Déniz & J. A. S. Padilla (Eds.), *Actas del XI Congreso Internacional de la Asociación de Lingüística y Filología de la América Latina Las Palmas de Gran Canaria, del 22 al 27 de julio de 1996*
6. Albano de Vázquez, H., Ghio, A., & Hall, B. (2003). Conocimiento léxico y desarrollo de la comprensión lectora de textos académicos

¹ La versión hace referencia al año (2008) y el mes (07).

en estudiantes universitarios. *Moenia: Revista lucense de lingüística & literatura*, 9, 401-434

7. Albano de Vázquez, H., Ghio, A., Hall, B., & Stern, M. (2001/2002). Algunas dificultades léxicas en alumnos universitarios ingresantes. *Anuario de Lingüística Hispánica*, XVII/XVIII, 89-110
8. Albano de Vázquez, H., Giammateo, M., & Ghio, A. (2001). Competencia morfológica y metacognición en explicaciones producidas por estudiantes de nivel terciario. *Lenguas Modernas*, 28-29, 71-90
9. Albert Robatto, M. (1991). *Redacción y estilo*. San Juan de Puerto Rico: Marle
10. Alcaraz Ariza, M. Á., & Salager-Meyer, F. (2005). Las reseñas de libros en español. Estudio retórico y diacrónico. *Spanish in Context*, 2(1), 29-49
11. Alcaraz Varó, E., Martínez, J. M., & Yus Ramos, F. (Eds.). (2007). *Las lenguas profesionales y académicas*. Barcelona: Ariel
12. Álvarez-Ossorio, J. R. P., & al, e. (1997). International visibility of domestic scientific literature. *Journal of Information Science*, 23(1), 98-101
13. Arden-Close, C. M. (1990). Research article introductions in Malay rhetoric in an emerging research community. En Dusk (Ed.), 273-303
14. Arnoux, E., & Di Stefano y Pereira, M. C. (2002). *La lectura y la escritura en la universidad*. Buenos Aires: Eudeba
15. Askehave, I., & Swales, J. M. (2000). Genre identification and communicative purpose: A problem and possible solution. *Applied Linguistics*, 22, 195-212
16. Atkinson, D. (1991). Discourse Analysis and Written Discourse Conventions. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 11, 57-76
17. Atkinson, D. (1992). The evolution of medical research writing from 1735 to 1985. The case of 'The Edinburgh Medical Journal'. *Applied Linguistics*, 13, 337-374
18. Atkinson, D. (1996). The Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 1675-1975: a sociohistorical discourse analysis. *Discourse in Society*, 25, 333-371
19. Atkinson, D. (1999). Language and science. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 19, 193-214
20. Atkinson, D. (1999). *Scientific discourse in sociohistorical context. The Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 1675-1975*. Mahwah, NJ & London: Lawrence Erlbaum
21. Bajtín, M. M. (1986 [1979]). The problem of speech genres. En M. M. Bajtín, *Speech genres and other late essays*. Austin, Texas: University of Texas, 60-102

-
22. Bajtín, M. M. (2005 [1982, 1979]). El problema de los géneros discursivos. En *Estética de la creación verbal*. Buenos Aires: Siglo XXI Editores, 248-293
 23. Banks, D. (2003). The evolution of grammatical metaphor in scientific writing. En A. M. Simon-Vandenberg, M. Taverniers & L. Ravelli (Eds.), *Grammatical metaphor: views from systemic functional linguistics*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 127-147
 24. Banks, D. (2005). Emerging scientific discourse in the late seventeenth century. *Functions of Language*, 12(1), 65-86
 25. Banks, D. (2008). *The development of scientific writing. Linguistic features and historical context*. London: Equinox
 26. Barabas, C. (1990). *Technical writing in a corporate culture: A study of the nature of information*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex
 27. Barton, E. (1993). Evidentials, argumentation, and epistemological stance. *College English*, 55, 745-769
 28. Barton, E., & Stygall, G. (Eds.). (2002). *Discourse studies in composition*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton Press
 29. Bauman, R. (2002). The ethnography of genre in Mexican market: form, function, variation. En P. Eckert & J. R. Rickford (Eds.), *Style and sociolinguistic variation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 57-77
 30. Bawarsi, A. (2000). The genre function. *College English*, 62(3), 335-360
 31. Bazerman, C. (1984). Modern evolution of the experimental report in physics: spectroscopic articles in *Physical Review*, 1893-1980. *Social Studies in Science*, 14, 163-196
 32. Bazerman, C. (1988). *Shaping written knowledge. The genre and activity of the experimental article in science*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press
 33. Bazerman, C. (1991). How natural philosophers can cooperate: The literary technology of coordinated investigation in Joseph Priestley's *History and present state of electricity* (1767). En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 13-44
 34. Bazerman, C. (1993). Foreword. En N. Blyler & C. Thralls (Eds.), *Professional communication: The social perspective*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage
 35. Bazerman, C. (1994). Systems of Genres and the Enactment of Social Intentions. En A. F. a. P. Medway (Ed.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric*. London: Taylor and Francis, 79-101
 36. Bazerman, C. (1998). Emerging perspectives on the many dimensions of scientific discourse. En J. R. Martin & R. Veel (Eds.), *Reading science: Critical and functional perspectives on discourse*
-

of science. London & New York: Routledge, 15-28

37. Bazerman, C. (2000). Singular utterances: Realizing local activities through typified forms in typified circumstances. En A. Trosborg (Ed.), *Analysing professional genres*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 25-40
38. Bazerman, C. (Ed.). (2007). *Handbook of research on writing: History, society, school, individual, text*: Taylor & Francis
39. Bazerman, C., Little, J., Bethel, L., Chavkin, T., Fouquette, D., & Garufis, J. (2005). *Reference guide to writing across the curriculum*. West Lafayette, Indiana: Parlor Press
40. Bazerman, C., & Paradis, J. (Eds.). (1991). *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press
41. Bazerman, C., Prior, P., & al, e. (Eds.). (2003). *What Writing Does and How It Does It: An Introduction to Analyzing Texts and Textual Practices*: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
42. Bazerman, C., & Russell, D. R. (Eds.). (2003). *Writing selves. Writing societies*. Colorado: Colorado State University
43. Beaugrande, R. d. Peer review is far from peerless [Electronic Version]
44. Beaugrande, R. d. (1980-1). Design criteria for process models of reading. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 16, 261-315
45. Beaugrande, R. d. (1984). *Text production. Toward a science of composition*: Ablex
46. Beaugrande, R. d. (1993). 'Register' in discourse studies: a concept in search of a theory. En M. Ghadessy (Ed.), *Register analysis: theory and practice*. London & New York: Pinter Publishers, 7-25
47. Beaugrande, R. d. (1994). *Consultations, conferences, and proceedings: Their role as discourse transactions*. Presentado en First International Conference on Literature, Linguistics and Translation. Yarmouk University, April 4-7
48. Beck, S., & Martínez, I. (2003). Atribución académica: su función en las distintas secciones del artículo de investigación. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
49. Beebee, T. O. (1994). *The ideology of genre: A comparative study of generic instability*. Pennsylvania: The Pennsylvania State University Press
50. Belcher, D. (1995). Writing critically across the curriculum. En D. Belcher & G. Braine (Eds.), *Academic Writing in a Second Language: Essays on Research and Pedagogy*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation, 135-154

-
51. Ben-Amos, D. (1976). *Folklore genres*. Austin, Texas: University of Texas Press
 52. Bergner, H. (1995). The openness of Medieval texts. En A. H. Jucker (Ed.), *Historical Pragmatics. Pragmatic developments in the history of English*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 37-54
 53. Berkenkotter, C., & Huckin, T. N. (1993). Rethinking genre from a sociocognitive perspective. *Written Communication*, 10
 54. Berkenkotter, C., & Huckin, T. N. (1995). *Genre knowledge in disciplinary communication: Cognition/culture/power*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum
 55. Berkenkotter, C., Huckin, T. N., & Ackerman, M. (1988). Conventions, conversations and the writer: Case study of a student in a rhetoric Ph. D. program. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 22, 9-44
 56. Berkenkotter, C., Huckin, T. N., & Ackerman, M. (1991). Social context and socially constructed texts: The initiation of a graduate student into a writing research community. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics of the professions*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 191-215
 57. Berman, R. A. (2004). Introduction: Developing discourse stance in different text types and languages. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 37, 105-124
 58. Bhatia, V. K. (1993). *Analysing Genre: language use in professional settings*. London: Longman
 59. Bhatia, V. K. (1999). Integrating products, processes, processes and participants in professional writing. En C. N. Candlin & K. Hyland (Eds.), *Writing: Texts, processes and practices*. London: Longman
 60. Bhatia, V. K. (2000). Genres in conflict. En A. Trosborg (Ed.), *Analysing professional genres*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 147-161
 61. Bhatia, V. K. (2000). The power and politics of genre. En A. Burns (Ed.), *Analysing English in a global context: A reader*. Florence, KY, USA: Routledge, 65-77
 62. Bhatia, V. K. (2001). Analyzing genre: Some conceptual issues. En M. Hewings (Ed.), *Academic writing in context: Implications and applications (paper in honor of Tony Dudley-Evans)*. Birmingham, UK: University of Birmingham, 79-92
 63. Bhatia, V. K. (2002). A generic view of academic discourse. En J. Flowerdew (Ed.), *Academic discourse*. Harlow, UK: Longman, 21-39
 64. Bhatia, V. K. (2004). *Worlds of written discourse*. London: Continuum
 65. Bhatia, V. K., Flowerdew, J., & Jones, R. (Eds.). (2008). *Advances*
-

66. Bhatia, V. K., & Gotti, M. (Eds.). (2006). *Explorations in specialized genres*. Bern: Peter Lang
67. Biber, D. (1988). *Variation across speech and writing*. New York: Cambridge University Press
68. Biber, D. (2001). Dimensions of variation among 18th-century registers. En H.-J. Diller & M. Görlach (Eds.), *Towards a history of English as a history of genres*. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag C. Winter, 89-110
69. Biber, D. (2004). Historical patterns for the grammatical marking of stance: a cross-register comparison. *Journal of Historical Pragmatics*, 5(1), 107-136
70. Biber, D. (2006). Stance in spoken and written university registers. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 5(2), 97-116
71. Biber, D., Connor, U., & Upton, T. A. (Eds.). (2007). *Discourse on the move. Using corpus analysis to describe discourse structure*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
72. Biber, D., & Finegan, E. (1989). Drift and the evolution of English style: A history of three genres. *Language*, 65, 487-517
73. Biber, D., & Finegan, E. (1992). The linguistic evolution of five written and speech-based English genres from the 17th to the 20th centuries. En M. Rissanen, O. Ihalainen, T. Nevalainen & I. Taavitsainen (Eds.), *History of Englishes: New methods and interpretations in historical linguistics*. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 688-704
74. Biber, D., & Finegan, E. (1994). Situating register in sociolinguistics. En D. Biber & E. Finegan (Eds.), *Sociolinguistic perspectives on register*. New York & Oxford: Oxford University Press, 3-12
75. Biber, D., & Finegan, E. (1994). *Sociolinguistic perspectives on register*. New York & Oxford: Oxford University Press
76. Birch-Bécaas, S. (1997). From author to reviewer to editor: Negotiating the claim in a scientific article: A study of French researchers publishing in English. *Angais de Spécialité: La Revue du GÉRAS*, 15-18, 397-408
77. Bishop, W., & Ostrum, H. (Eds.). (1997). *Genre and writing*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook
78. Bizzell, P. (1992). *Academic discourse and critical consciousness*. Pittsburg: University of Pittsburg Press
79. Bolívar, A. (1998). *Discurso e interacción en el texto escrito*. Caracas: Consejo de Desarrollo Científico y Humanístico. Universidad Central de Venezuela
80. Bolívar, A. (2001). The negotiation of evaluation in written text. En

-
- M. Scott & G. Thompson (Eds.), *Patterns of text. In honour of Michael Hoey*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 129-158
81. Bolívar, A. (2004). Análisis crítico del discurso de los académicos. *Signos*, 37(55), 7-18
 82. Bolívar, A. (2005). Tradiciones discursivas y construcción del conocimiento en las humanidades. *Signo & Seña*, 14, 67-92
 83. Bolívar, A., & Kaiser, D. (en preparación). Tradiciones discursivas en lingüística y literatura en español y alemán.
 84. Bondi, M., & Bamford, J. (Eds.). (2005). *Dialogue within Discourse Communities: Metadiscursive Perspectives on Academic Genres*. Tübingen: Max-Niemeyer Verlag
 85. Bondi, M., & Mauranen, A. (2003). Editorial: evaluative language use in academic discourse. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 2(4), 269-271
 86. Bonn, S. v., & Swales, J. M. (2007). English and French journal abstracts in the language sciences: three exploratory studies. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 6, 93-108
 87. Bös, B. (2004). *Integrative Darstellung englischer Serviceinteraktionen: Verbale, non-verbale, physische und kognitive Aspekte*. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang
 88. Bourdieu, P., & Passeron, J.-C. (1996). Introduction: language and relationship to language in the teaching situation. En P. Bourdieu, J.-C. Passeron & M. d. Saint Martin, *Academic discourse*. The Hague: Mouton
 89. Bourdieu, P., Passeron, J.-C., & Saint-Martin, M. (1994 [1965]). *Academic discourse. Linguistic misunderstanding and professorial power*. Cambridge: Polity Press
 90. Bruce, I. (2008). *Academic writing and genre. A systematic analysis*: Continuum
 91. Brumme, J. (Ed.). (2001). *La historia de los lenguajes iberorrománicos de especialidad. La divulgación de ciencia*. Frankfurt am Main & Madrid: Instituto Universitario de Lingüística Aplicada, Universitat Pompeu Fabra
 92. Brünner, G., & Gülich, E. (2002). Verfahren der Veranschaulichung in der Experten-Laien-Kommunikation. En G. Brünner & E. Gülich (Eds.), *Sprechen über Krankheiten*. Bielefeld: Aisthesis Verlag, 17-94
 93. Burgess, S. (2000). Books for review and reviewers for books: A genre analysis of print and electronic book reviews in linguistics, *Conferencia internacional "Research & Practice in Professional Discourse"*. Hong Kong
 94. Burgess, S. (2002). Packed houses and intimate gatherings: Audience and rhetorical structure. En Flowerdew (Ed.), *Academic discourse*. Harlow, UK: Longman, 196-215
-

-
95. Busch-Lauer, I.-A. (1998). Non-verbal elements and data commentary in English medical texts. En I. Fortanet, S. Posteguillo, J. C. Palmer & J. F. Coll (Eds.), *Genre studies in English for academic purposes*. Castellón: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I, 109-132
 96. Butler, C. S. (1990). Qualifications in science: modal meanings in scientific texts. En W. Nash (Ed.), *The writing scholar. Studies in academic discourse*. London: Sage, 137-171
 97. Caballero Rodríguez, M. d. R. (2001). Evaluation and rhetorical structure: the case of building reviews in the discourse of architects. En J. C. Palmer, S. Posteguillo & I. Fortanet (Eds.), *Discourse analysis and terminology in Languages for Specific Purposes*. Castellón: Universitat Jaume I, 445-452
 98. Cabré, T., & Bach, C. (Eds.). (2005). *Coneixement, llenguatge i discurs especialitzat*. Barcelona: Institut Universitari de Lingüística Aplicada (IULA), Universitat Pompeu Fabra
 99. Caldas-Coulthard, C. R., & Coulthard, M. (1996). *Texts and Practices: Readings in Critical Discourse Analysis*. London: Routledge
 100. Campbell, K., & Hall Jamieson, K. (1978). *Form and genre: Shaping rhetorical action*. Falls Church, Va: The Speech Communication Association
 101. Canagarajah, S. (2002). *Critical academic writing and multilingual students*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press
 102. Candlin, C., & Hyland, K. (Eds.). (1999). *Writing: Texts, Processes and Practices*. London: Longman
 103. Carli, A., & Ammon, U. A. (Eds.). (2008). *Linguistic inequality in scientific communication today. What can future linguistics do to mitigate disadvantages for non-anglophones?* Amsterdam: John Benjamins
 104. Carlino, P. (2005). *Escribir, leer y aprender en la universidad*. Buenos Aires: Fondo de Cultura Económica
 105. Carrizo, A. (2003). Estrategias argumentativas de la descripción teórica: análisis del prólogo de la *Gramática descriptiva de la lengua española*. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
 106. Carter, R., Goddard, A., Reah, D., Sanger, K., & Bowring, M. (1997). *Working with texts: A core book for language analysis*. London & New York: Routledge
 107. Carvalho, G. d. (2001). Rhetorical patterns of academic book reviews written in Portuguese and in English. En *Studies in Contrastive Linguistics, Proceedings of the 2nd International Contrastive Linguistics Conference. October, 2001*, 261-268
-

-
108. Cascone, L. (2003). Estructura retórica y evaluación en *Abstracts* de artículos de investigación científica. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
 109. Cassany, D. (2000). Análisis discursivo de la divulgación científica. En J. J. de Bustos & P. Chareadeau (Eds.), *Lengua, discurso, texto. I Simposio Internacional de Análisis del Discurso*. Madrid: Visor
 110. Charles, M. (2003). 'This mystery...': a corpus-based study of the use of nouns to construct stance in theses from two contrasting disciplines. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 2(4), 313-326
 111. Chen, Y., & Foley, J. A. (2005 [2004]). Problems with the metaphorical reconstrual of meaning in Chinese EFL learners' expositions. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London & New York: Continuum
 112. Cheng, A. (2006). Understanding learners and learning in ESP genre-based writing instruction. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 25, 76-89
 113. Cherry, R. (1988). Ethos versus persona: self-representation in written discourse. *Written Communications*, 5(3), 251-276
 114. Cherry, R. (1988). Politeness in written persuasion. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 12, 63-81
 115. Chouliaraki, L., & Fairclough, N. (1999). *Discourse in late modernity: Rethinking Critical Discourse Analysis*. Edinburgh: University of Edinburgh Press
 116. Christie, F. (Ed.). (1984). *Children writing: Study guide and reader*. Geelong: Deakin University Press
 117. Christie, F., & Martin, J. R. (Eds.). (2000 [1997]). *Genre and institutions*. London: Continuum
 118. Ciapuscio, G. (1994). El lenguaje de la ciencia: algunos rasgos textuales y gramaticales en resúmenes de Medicina de ayer y de hoy. En *Actas de las Jornadas de Lingüística Aplicada a la Enseñanza de la Lengua. Bariloche, 10 al 12 de noviembre de 1993*. Bariloche
 119. Ciapuscio, G. (1994). *La divulgación científica: una perspectiva lingüístico-textual*. Buenos Aires: Centro Editor de América Latina
 120. Ciapuscio, G. (1994). *Tipos textuales*. Buenos Aires: Oficina de Publicaciones del CBC, FFyL, Universidad de Buenos Aires
 121. Ciapuscio, G. (1996). El subtipo textual "conclusiones" en revistas de lingüística hispánica: una perspectiva lingüístico-textual contrastiva. *Filología*(1-2), 5-20
 122. Ciapuscio, G. (2001). Procesos y recursos de producción textual en la divulgación de la ciencia. En J. Brumme (Ed.), *La historia de los lenguajes iberorrománicos de especialidad. La divulgación de ciencia*. Frankfurt am Main & Madrid: Instituto Universitario de
-

123. Ciapuscio, G. (2003). *Textos especializados y terminología*. Barcelona: Instituto Universitario de Lingüística Aplicada, Universidad de Pompeu Fabra
124. Ciapuscio, G. (2005). El conocimiento especializado: el texto de especialidad y los criterios para su tipologización. En T. Cabré & C. Bach (Eds.), *Coneixement, llenguatge i discurs especialitzat*. Barcelona: Institut Universitari de Lingüística Aplicada (IULA), Universitat Pompeu Fabra, 95-110
125. Ciapuscio, G. (2005). La noción de género en la Lingüística Sistemática Funcional y en la Lingüística Textual. *Signos*, 38(57), 31-48
126. Ciapuscio, G. (2006). El inicio de una tradición discursiva en la Argentina: los primeros autores argentinos en los Cuadernos del Instituto de Filología Dr. Amado Alonso. En G. Ciapuscio, K. Jungbluth, D. Kaiser & C. López (Eds.), *Sincronía y diacronía de tradiciones discursivas en Latinoamérica*. Madrid: Iberoamericana Editorial Vervuert, 13-26
127. Clark, R., & Ivanič, R. (1997). *The politics of writing*. London: Routledge
128. Clerehan, R., & Buchbinder, R. (2006). Toward a more valid account of functional text quality: The case of the patient information leaflet. *Text and talk*, 26(1)
129. Clyne, M. (1987). Cultural differences in the organization of academic texts. English and German. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 11, 211-247
130. Coffin, C., & Hewings, A. (2004). IELTS as preparation for tertiary writing: distinctive interpersonal and textual strategies. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*.
131. Connor, U., & Mayberry, S. (1996). Learning discipline-specific academic writing: A case study of Finnish graduate student in the United States. En E. Ventola & A. Mauranen (Eds.), *Academic writing. Intercultural and textual issues*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 231-253
132. Connor, U., & Upton, T. A. (Eds.). (2004). *Discourse in the professions: Perspectives from corpus linguistics*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins
133. Conrad, S. (1996). Investigating academic texts with corpus-based techniques. An example from biology. *Linguistics and Education*, 8, 299-326
134. Conrad, S., & Biber, D. (1999). Adverbial marking of stance in speech and writing. En S. Hunston & G. Thompson (Eds.), *Evaluation in text*. New York: Oxford University Press

-
135. Cooper, C., & Greenbaum, S. (Eds.). (1986). *Studying writing: Linguistic approaches*. Beverly Hills: Sage
 136. Cooper, M. M. (1982). Context as vehicle: implicatures in writing. En M. Nystrand (Ed.), *What writers know. The language, process, and structure of written discourse*. Orlando, FL: Academic Press, 105-128
 137. Corbett, J. (2006). Genre and genre analysis. En K. Brown (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics. 2nd edition*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 26-32
 138. Cortes, V. (2004). Lexical bundles in published and student disciplinary writing: Examples from history and biology. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23, 397-423
 139. Coulthard, M. (Ed.). (1994). *Advances in written text analysis*. London: Routledge
 140. Coulthard, M. (1994). On analysing and evaluating written text. En M. Coulthard (Ed.), *Advances in written text analysis*. London: Routledge, 1-11
 141. Couture, B. (1986). *Functional approaches to writing: Research perspectives*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation
 142. Crawford Camiciottoli, B. (2005). Adjusting a business lecture for an international audience: a case study. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24, 183-199
 143. Crismore, A., Markkanen, R., & Steffensen, M. (1993). Metadiscourse in persuasive writing: A study of texts written by American and Finnish University Students. *Written Communications*, 10(1), 39-71
 144. Crompton, P. (1997). Hedging in academic writing: Some theoretical problems. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16(4), 271-287
 145. Crookes, G. (1986). Towards a validated analysis of scientific text structure. *Applied Linguistics*, 7(1), 57-70
 146. Cubo de Severino, L. (2004). Accesibilidad y aceptabilidad en los discursos académico-científicos. Uso y reconocimiento de predictores. *RASAL*, 2, 67-78
 147. Cubo de Severino, L. (Ed.). (2005). *Comunicación académico-científica. Signo & Seña* (Vol. 14). Buenos Aires: Instituto de Lingüística
 148. Cubo de Severino, L. (Ed.). (2005). *Los textos de la ciencia. Principales clases del discurso académico-científico*. Córdoba: Comunicarte Editorial
 149. Culpeper, J., & Kytö, M. (2000). Data in historical pragmatics: spoken interaction (re)cast as writing. *Journal of Historical Pragmatics*, 1, 175-199
 150. Culy, C. (1987). Cookbook linguistics: recipes and linguistic theory.
-

Ms., Stanford University

151. Cutting, J. (2000). *Analysing the language of discourse communities*. Amsterdam: Elsevier
152. Davies, F. (1997). Marked Theme as a heuristic for analyzing text type, text and genre. En J. Pique & D. J. Viera (Eds.), *Applied languages: Theory and practice in ESP*. Valencia: Universidad de Valencia Press
153. Davies, M. (2006). Towards the first comprehensive survey of register variation in Spanish. En E. Fitzpatrick (Ed.), *Corpus Linguistics beyond the word: corpus research from phrase to discourse*. Rodopi, 73-86
154. Davies, M., Biber, D., Jones, J., & Tracy-Ventura, N. (2006). Spoken and written register variation in Spanish: a multi-dimensional analysis. *Corpora*, 1, 1-37
155. de Revenga, P., & Puche Lorenzo, M. Á. (2006). Estructuras sintácticas en la prosa científica del siglo XVIII. *Revista de Investigación Lingüística. Cuestiones sintagmático-discursivas*, 9
156. Dear, P. (1985). Totius in verba: rhetoric and authority in the early Royal Society. *Isis*, 76, 144-161
157. del-Teso-Craviotto, M. (2006). Words that matter: Lexical choice and gender ideologies in women's magazines. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 38, 2003-2021
158. Devitt, A. J. (1989). Genre as textual variable: some historical evidence from Scots and American English. *American Speech*, 64, 291-303
159. Devitt, A. J. (1991). Intertextuality in tax accounting: Generic, referential, and functional. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 336-357
160. Devitt, A. J. (2004). *Writing genres*. Southern Illinois University Press
161. Dias, P., Freedman, A., Medway, P., & Paré, A. (Eds.). (1999). *Worlds apart: Acting and writing in academic and workplace contexts*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
162. Díaz Rojo, J. (1998). *Revisión de la concepción tradicional de la terminología científica desde una perspectiva diacrónica. Colloqui La Història del llenguatges iberoromànics d'especialitat (segles XVII-XIX): solucions per al present*. Barcelona: Instituto Universitario de Lingüística Aplicada, Universitat Pompeu Fabra
163. Dijk, T. A. v. (1980). *Macrostructures*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum
164. Dijk, T. A. v. (Ed.). (1985). *Discourse and literature. New approaches to the analysis of literary genres*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins

-
165. Diller, H.-J. (2001). *Genre* in linguistic and related discourses. En H.-J. Diller & M. Görlach (Eds.), *Towards a history of English as a history of genres*. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag C. Winter, 3-43
 166. Diller, H.-J., & Görlach, M. (Eds.). (2001). *History of English - History of genres*. Frankfurt am Main: Peter Lang
 167. Dillon, G. (1991). *Contending rhetorics: writing in academic disciplines*. Bloomington & Indianapolis: Indiana University Press
 168. Dorra, R. (1982). *De la lengua escrita*. México: Universidad Autónoma de México
 169. Downing, A. (1996). Register and/or genre? En I. Vázquez & A. Hornero (Eds.), *Current issues in genre theory*. Zaragoza: Mira Editores, 11-27
 170. Dressen, D. (2003). Geologists' implicit persuasive strategies and the construction of evaluative evidence. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 2(4), 273-290
 171. Drury, H. (2004). Teaching academic writing on screen: A search for best practice. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London: Continuum, 233-253
 172. Dubois, B. (1987). 'Something on the order of around forty to forty four': Imprecise numerical expressions in biomedical slide talks. *Language and Society*, 16, 527-541
 173. Dudley-Evans, T. (Ed.). (1987). *Genre analysis and ESP. ELR Journal 1* (Vol. 1). Birmingham, UK: English Language Research, University of Birmingham
 174. Dudley-Evans, T. (1989). An outline of the value of genre analysis in LSP work. En C. Lauren & N. Nordman (Eds.), *Special language: From humans thinking to thinking machines*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd., 72-79
 175. Dudley-Evans, T. (1994). Academic texts: The importance of the use and comprehension of hedges. *Asp*, 5-6, 131-139
 176. Dudley-Evans, T. (1994). Genre analysis: an approach to text analysis for ESP. En M. Coulthard (Ed.), *Advances in written text analysis*. London: Routledge
 177. Dudley-Evans, T. (1997). Genre models for the teaching of academic writing to second language speakers: advantages and disadvantages. En T. Miller (Ed.), *Functional approaches to written text: Classroom applications*. Washington DC: United States Information Service, 150-159
 178. Dudley-Evans, T. (1998). Introduction. En I. Fortanet & e. al (Eds.), *Genre studies in English for Academic Purposes*. Castellón: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I, 9-12
 179. Dudley-Evans, T., & Henderson, W. (1993). The development of the economics article: 1891 to 1980. *FINLANCE*, 12, 159-191
-

-
180. Duo de Brottier, O. (2003). El valor de las formas verbales en el artículo de investigación. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
 181. Duszak, A. (1994). Academic discourse and intellectual styles. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 21, 291-313
 182. Duszak, A. (Ed.). (1997). *Culture and styles of academic discourse*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter
 183. Eco, U. (1982). *Cómo se hace una tesis*. Barcelona: Gedisa Ediciones
 184. Eggins, S., & Martin, J. R. (1997). Genres and registers of discourse. En T. A. v. Dijk (Ed.), *Discourse. A multidisciplinary introduction. I. Discourse as structure and process*. London: Sage Publications, 230-256
 185. Eggins, S., & Martin, J. R. (2003). El contexto como género: una perspectiva lingüística funcional *Signos*, 36(54), 185-205
 186. Eggins, S., Wignell, P., & Martin, J. R. (1993). The discourse of history: distancing the recoverable past. En M. Ghadessy (Ed.), *Register analysis: theory and practice*. London & New York: Pinter Publishers, 75-109
 187. Ellis, R. A. (2004). Supporting genre-based literacy pedagogy with technology - the implications for the framing and classification of the pedagogy. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London & New York: Continuum, 210-232
 188. Emmott, C. (1998). Frames of reference: Contextual monitoring and the interpretation of narrative discourse. En M. Coulthard (Ed.), *Advances in written text analysis*. London: Routledge, 157-166
 189. Esteve Ramos, M. J. (2008). *English medical vocabulary in ophthalmology*: Lincom GmbH
 190. Estrada, A. (2005). Acercamiento microdiscursivo al estudio de la modalidad epistémica en el discurso académico. En S. Santos & J. Panesi (Eds.), *Actas del Congreso Internacional: Debates actuales. Las teorías críticas de la literatura y la lingüística. FFyL, Universidad de Buenos Aires, 18 al 21 de octubre de 2004*. Buenos Aires: Universidad de Buenos Aires. Editado en CD.
 191. Evangelisti Allori, P. (Ed.). (1998). *Academic discourse in Europe*. Rome: Bulzoni Editore
 192. Fabb, N., Attridge, D., Durand, A., & MacCabe, C. (1987). *La lingüística de la escritura*. Madrid: Visor
 193. Facchinetti, R., & Palmer, F. (Eds.). (2004). *English modality in perspective: Genre analysis and contrastive studies*. Frankfurt & New York: Peter Lang
 194. Fahnestock, J., & Secor, M. (1991). The rhetoric of literary criticism.
-

En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 76-96

195. Fairclough, N. (2006). Genres in political discourse. En K. Brown (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Language and Linguistics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 32-38
196. Fandrych, C. (2005). This study illustrates... Aspectos contrastivos en artículos científicos (alemán-inglés). *Signo & Seña*, 14, 37-66
197. Fandrych, C., & Graefen, G. (2002). Text commenting devices in German and English scientific articles. *Multilingua*, 21(1), 17-43
198. Feng, H. (2008). A genre-based study of research grant proposals in China. En U. Connor, E. Nagelhout & W. Rozycki (Eds.), *Contrastive Rhetoric: reaching to Intercultural Rhetoric*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
199. Ferguson, C. (1994). Dialect, register and genre: working assumptions about conventionalization. En D. Biber & E. Finegan (Eds.), *Sociolinguistic perspectives on register*. New York: Oxford University Press, 15-30
200. Fernández Antolín, M. J. (2002). *La problemática de los tipos textuales inglés-español en los estudios de traducción*. Tesis doctoral, Universidad de Valladolid, Valladolid
201. Ferrari, L. (1998). La jerarquización de la información en reseñas escritas por estudiantes universitarios. *Revista Española Actual*, XX(2), 255-368
202. Ferrari, L. (2002). *Marcadores de modalidad epistémica en artículos de investigación*. Presentado en Congreso Internacional “La argumentación”. Lingüística - Retórica - Lógica – Pedagogía. Buenos Aires, 10 al 12 de julio de 2002
203. Ferrari, L. (2003). La expresión de la subjetividad en textos que comunican ciencia. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
204. Ferrari, L. (2003). *Modalidad epistémica y grados de certeza en los artículos de investigación*. Presentado en Congreso de Lenguas del Mercosur: De la teoría a la Praxis de las Lenguas, Universidad Nacional del Nordeste, Resistencia, Chaco, Argentina
205. Finegan, E., & Biber, D. (2002). Register variation and social dialect variation: the Register Axiom. En P. Eckert & J. R. Rickford (Eds.), *Style and sociolinguistic variation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 235-267
206. Fleischman, S. (1990). Philology, linguistics, and the discourse of the medieval text. *Speculum*, 65, 19-37
207. Flottum, K., & Dahl, T. (2006). *Academic voices across languages*

and disciplines: Peter Lang

208. Flottum, K., & Rastier, F. (Eds.). (2003). *Academic discourse. Multidisciplinary approaches*. Oslo: Novus Press
209. Flower, L. (1990). Negotiating academic discourse. En L. Flower, V. Stein, M. Ackerman, M. Kantz, K. McCormick & W. Peck (Eds.), *Reading-to-write: Exploring a cognitive and social process*. New York: Oxford University Press, 221-252
210. Flower, L. (1994). *The construction of negotiated meaning: a social cognitive theory of writing*. Carbondale & Edwadsville: Southern Illinois University Press
211. Flowerdew, J. (Ed.). (2001). *Academic discourse*. London: Longman
212. Flowerdew, J. (2002). Introduction: approaches to the analysis of academic discourse in English. En J. Flowerdew (Ed.), *Academic discourse*. Harlow, UK: Longman, 1-17
213. Flowerdew, J., & Wan, A. Genre analysis of tax computation letters: how and why tax accountants write the way they do. *English for Specific Purposes*, 25, 133-153
214. Flowerdew, L. (2004). The argument for using English specialized corpora to understand academic and professional language. En U. Connor & T. A. Upton (Eds.), *Discourse in the professions: Perspectives from corpus linguistics*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 11-33
215. Fludernik, M. (Ed.). (en preparación). *Narrative structure, 1250-1750: a genre-by-genre analysis*
216. Forey, G., & Thompson, G. (Eds.). (2008). *Text type and texture*. London: Equinox
217. Fortanet, I. (2004). The use of 'we' in university lectures: Reference and function. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23, 45-66
218. Fortanet, I., Palmer, J. C., & Posteguillo, S. (2001). Hedging devices in technical and academic English. En J. C. Palmer, S. Posteguillo & I. Fortanet (Eds.), *Discourse analysis and terminology in Languages for Specific Purposes*. Castellón: Universitat Jaume I, 241-257
219. Fortanet, I., Posteguillo, S., Palmer, J. C., & Coll, J. F. (1998). Disciplinary variations in the writing of research articles in English. En I. Fortanet & S. Posteguillo (Eds.), *Genre studies in English for Academic Purposes*. Castellón: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I, 59-78
220. Fortanet, I., Posteguillo, S., Palmer, J. C., & Coll, J. F. (Eds.). (1998). *Genre studies in English for Academic Purposes*. Castellón, Spain: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I
221. Fourez, G. (1994). *Alfabetización científica y tecnológica*. Buenos Aires: Ediciones Colihue
222. Fowler, A. (1982). *Kinds of literature: an introduction to the theory*

of genres and modes. Oxford: Oxford University Press

223. Francis, G. (1986). *Anaphoric nouns*. Birmingham: University of Birmingham, English Language Research
224. Francis, G. (1998). Labelling discourse: an aspect of nominal-group lexical cohesion. En M. Coulthard (Ed.), *Advances in written text analysis*. London: Routledge, 83-101
225. Freedman, A. (1994 [1987]). Anyone for tennis? En A. Freedman & A. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric*. London: Taylor & Francis
226. Freddi, M. (2005). Arguing linguistics: corpus investigation of one functional variety of academic discourse. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 4, 5-26
227. Freedman, A., & Medway, P. (Eds.). (1994). *Learning and teaching genre*. Portsmouth, NH: Boyton/Cook
228. Freedman, A., & Medway, P. (1994a). Locating genre studies: antecedents and prospects. En A. Freedman & P. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric*. London: Taylor & Francis, 1-20
229. Freedman, A., & Medway, P. (Eds.). (1994b). *Genre and the New Rhetoric*. London: Taylor & Francis
230. Fries, P. H. (1994). On theme, rheme and discourse goals. En M. Coulthard (Ed.), *Advances in written text analysis*. London: Routledge, 229-249
231. Fulwiler, T., & Young, A. (1982). *Language connections. Writing and reading across the curriculum*. Urbana, Illinois: National Council of Teachers of English
232. Fusari, S. (2005). Philanthropic direct mail in an English/Italian perspective. *AILA*
233. Gallardo, S. (2004). La presencia explícita del autor en textos académicos. *RASAL*, 2, 31-44
234. Gaonkar, D. P. (1997). The idea of rhetoric in the rhetoric of science. En A. G. Gross & W. M. Keith (Eds.), *Rhetorical hermeneutics*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 25-85
235. García Negroni, M. M. (2005). Argumentación y polifonía en el discurso científico-académico. A propósito de ciertos conectores en la transgresión argumentativa. *RASAL*, 1, 11-24
236. García Negroni, M. M. (2008). Subjetividad y discurso científico-académico. Acerca de algunas manifestaciones de la subjetividad en el artículo de investigación en español. *Signos*, 41(66), 5-31
237. García Negroni, M. M., & Ramírez Gelbes, S. (2005). *Ethos* discursivo y polémica sin enfrentamiento. Acerca del discurso académico en humanidades. En T. M. K. Rösing & C. Schons (Eds.), *Questões de escrita*. Passo Fundo: UPF, 114-137
238. García Negroni, M. M., & Ramírez Gelbes, S. (2005). Polémica y

-
- agente. El caso de *sin embargo* y *no obstante* en los abstracts académicos. En S. Santos & J. Panesi (Eds.), *Actas del Congreso Internacional: Debates actuales. Las teorías críticas de la literatura y la lingüística. FFyL, Universidad de Buenos Aires, 18 al 21 de octubre de 2004*. Buenos Aires: Universidad de Buenos Aires. Editado en CD.
239. García Negroni, M. M., Stern, M., & Pergola, L. (Eds.). (2004 [2001]). *El arte de escribir bien en español. Manual de corrección de estilo*. Buenos Aires: Santiago Arcos Editor
240. García-Guinea, J., & De la Sota Rius, J. (1998). Las consecuencias de publicar en revistas científicas en español en España. *Interciencia*, 23(3), 185-187
241. Gea Valor, M. L. (1998). An approach to the rhetorical structure and the use of modality in book reviews. *SELL*, 0, 63-72
242. Gea Valor, M. L. (2000). The expression of authorial comment in the academic book review. *SELL*, 2, 133-146
243. Gea Valor, M. L. (2000). *A pragmatic approach to politeness and modality in the book review articles*. Valencia: Universitat de Valencia
244. Gea Valor, M. L. (2001). Evaluation parameters in the academic book review. *SELL*, 3, 167-178
245. Gea Valor, M. L. (2004). The use and function of evaluative language in the academic book review. *Applied Linguistics Perspectives. Textos de Filología. Zaragoza*, 10
246. Gea Valor, M. L. (2005). Advertising books: A linguistic analysis of blurbs. *Ibérica*, 10, 41-52
247. Gea Valor, M. L. (2006). Is an image worth a thousand words? Net representations of the book industry. *Revista de Lingüística y Lenguas Aplicadas*, 1, 37-47
248. Gea Valor, M. L., & Saz Rubio, M. M. d. (2000-1). The coding of linguistic politeness in the academic book review. *Pragmalingüística*, 8-9
249. Gea Valor, M. L., & Saz Rubio, M. M. d. (2001). An insight into positive politeness in the construction of the Book Review: Bridging the ideational and interpersonal functions together. En A. I. Moreno & V. Colwell (Eds.), *Perspectivas recientes sobre el discurso/Recent perspectives on discourse*. León: AESLA y Universidad de León: Secretariado de Publicaciones y Medios Audiovisuales. CD-Rom
250. Geertz, C. (1980). Géneros confusos. La refiguración del pensamiento social. *American Scholar*, 49(2), 165-179
251. Geisler, C. (1991). Toward a sociocognitive model of literacy: Constructing mental models in a philosophical conversation. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional*
-

-
- communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 171-190
252. Geluykens, R., & Kraft, B. (Eds.). (2008). *Institutional discourse in cross-cultural contexts*: Lincom GmbH
253. Geluykens, R., & Pelsmaekers, K. (2006). *Discourse in professional contexts*: Lincom GmbH
254. Ghadessy, M. (1993). *Register analysis: theory and practice*. London & New York: Pinter Publishers
255. Giammateo, M. (1998). La evaluación en textos producidos por estudiantes universitarios. *Estudios Filológicos*, 33, 41-53
256. Gilbert, G., & Mulkay, M. (1984). *Opening pandora's box: A sociological analysis of scientific discourse*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
257. Giltrow, J. (1994). Genre and the pragmatic concept of background knowledge. En A. Freedman & P. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric*. London: Taylor & Francis
258. Glessgen, M.-D. (2007). Corpus historiques et pragmatique - genres textuels et variétés linguistiques. En C. Pusch, R. Kailuweit, S. Pfänder & W. Raible (Eds.), *Romance Corpus Linguistics III: corpora and pragmatics* Tübingen: Narr
259. Gómez Sánchez, J. P., & Gómez Gonzalez-Jover, A. (2006). La construcción lingüística de un imaginario científico. *Revista de Investigación Lingüística. Cuestiones sintagmático-discursivas*, 9
260. González, R. A. (1998). Code-switching in Spanish economic discourse. Rhetorical strategies in research articles. En I. Fortanet & S. Posteguillo (Eds.), *Genre studies in English for Academic Purposes*. Castellón: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I, 227-248
261. Göpferich, S. (2000). Analysing LSP genres (text types): From perpetuation to optimization in text(-type) linguistics. En A. Trosborg (Ed.), *Analysing professional genres*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 227-247
262. Görlach, M. (1992). Text-types and language history: The cookery recipe. En M. Rissanen, O. Ihalainen, T. Nevalainen & I. Taavitsainen (Eds.), *History of Englishes: New methods and interpretations in historical linguistics*. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 736-761
263. Gotti, M., & Salager-Meyer, F. (Eds.). (2006). *Advances in medical discourse analysis: Oral and written contexts* Peter Lang
264. Grabarczyk, Z. (1989). Scientific discourse against the background of standard language. En C. Lauren & N. Nordman (Eds.), *Special language: From humans thinking to thinking machines*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd., 180-189
265. Grabe, W., & Kaplan, R. (1996). *Theory and practice of writing: an applied linguistic perspective*. London: Longman
-

-
266. Grabe, W., & Kaplan, R. (1997). On the writing of science and the science of writing: hedging in science texts and elsewhere. En R. Markkanen & H. Schroder (Eds.), *Hedging and discourse. Approaches to the analysis of a pragmatic phenomenon in academic texts*: Mouton de Gruyter, 151-167
267. Grafton, A. (1997). *The footnote: a curious history*. London: Faber & Faber
268. Granato, L. (Ed.). (2005). *Texto e interacción. RASAL* (Vol. 1). Buenos Aires: Instituto de Filología
269. Groom, N. (2000). Attribution and averral revisited: Three perspectives on manifest intertextuality in academic writing. En P. Thompson (Ed.), *Patterns and perspectives: Insights into EAP writing practise*. Reading: Centre for Applied Languages Studies, University of Reading, 14-25
270. Groom, N. (2005). Pattern and meaning across genres and disciplines: an exploratory study. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 4(3), 257-277
271. Gross, A. G. (1990). *The rhetoric of science*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press. 2nd edition 1996.
272. Gross, A. G., Harmon, J. E., & Reidy, M. (2002). *Communicating science: the scientific article from the 17th century to the present*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
273. Gross, G., & Keith, W. M. (1997). Introduction. En A. G. Gross & W. M. Keith (Eds.), *Rhetorical hermeneutics*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 1-22
274. Gruber, H. (2004). Scholar or consultant? Author-roles of student writers in German business writing. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London & New York: Continuum, 45-65
275. Gunnarsson, B.-L. (1989). LSP texts in a diachronic perspective. En C. Lauren & N. Nordman (Eds.), *Special language: From humans thinking to thinking machines*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd., 243-252
276. Gunnarsson, B.-L. (1993). Pragmatic and macrothematic patterns in science and popular science: a diachronic study of articles from three fields. En M. Ghadessy (Ed.), *Register analysis: theory and practice*. London & New York: Pinter Publishers, 165-179
277. Gunnarsson, B.-L. (1997). On the sociohistorical construction of scientific discourse. En B.-L. Gunnarsson, P. Linell & B. Nordberg (Eds.), *The construction of professional discourse*. London & New York: Longman, 99-126
278. Gunnarsson, B.-L. (1998). Academic discourse in changing context frames: The construction and development of a genre. En P. Evangelisti Allori (Ed.), *Academic discourse in Europe. Thought*
-

-
- processes and linguistic realisations*. Rome: Bulzoni Editore, 19-42
279. Gusfield, J. (1976). The literary rhetoric of science: Comedy and phatos in drinking driver research. *American Sociological Review*, 41, 16-34
280. Gutiérrez Rodilla, B. M. (2005). *El lenguaje de las ciencias*. Madrid: Gredos
281. Hall Jamieson, K. (1975). Antecedent genre as rhetorical constraint. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 61, 406-415
282. Halliday, M. A. K. (1988). On the language of physical science. En M. Ghadessy (Ed.), *Registers of written English. Situational factors and linguistic features*. London & New York: Pinter Publishers, 162-178
283. Halliday, M. A. K. (1998). Things and relations: regrammaticising experience as technical knowledge. En J. R. Martin & R. Veel (Eds.), *Reading science: Critical and functional perspectives on discourse of science*. London & New York: Routledge, 185-235
284. Halliday, M. A. K. (2006). *The language of science. Collected works of M. A. K. Halliday*, 5 Continuum
285. Halliday, M. A. K., & Martin, J. R. (1993). *Writing science: literacy and discursive power*. London: Falmer Press
286. Hamel Rainer, E. (2008). The dominance of English in the international scientific periodical literature and the future of language use in science. *AILA Review*, 20, 53-71
287. Hanks, W. (1987). Discourse genres in a theory of practice. *American Ethnologist*, 14, 668-692
288. Hansen de Chambouleyron, A., Williams, L., & Montorsi de Torres, C. (2003). Las estrategias tematizantes del inglés en el artículo científico. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
289. Harleman Stewart, A. (1991). The role of narrative structure in the transfer of ideas: The case study and management theory. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 120-144
290. Harris, J. (1989). The idea of community in the study of writing. *College Composition and Communication*, 40, 11-22
291. Harwood, N., & Hadley, G. (2004). Demystifying institutional practices: Critical pragmatism and the teaching of academic writing. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23, 355-377
292. Hasan, R. (1978). Text in the systemic-functional model. En W. Dressler (Ed.), *Current trends in textlinguistics*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 228-246
-

-
293. Hasan, R. (1979). On the notion of text. En J. Petofi (Ed.), *Text versus sentence: basic questions of textlinguistics*. Hamburg: Helmut Buske, 369-390
294. Hasan, R. (1989?). The structure of a text. En M. A. K. Halliday & R. Hasan (Eds.), *Language, context and text: Aspects of language in a social-semiotic perspective*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
295. Hasan, R. (1994). Situation and definition of genres. En D. Grimshaw & A. D. Burke (Eds.), *What's going on here: Complementary studies of professional talk*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation, 127-172
296. Hasan, R. (1995). The conception of context in text. En P. H. Fries & M. Gregory (Eds.), *Discourse in society: Systemic-functional perspectives*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation, 183-283
297. Hasan, R. (1996 [1984]). The nursery tale as genre. En C. Cloran, D. Butt & G. Williams (Eds.), *Ways of saying: Ways of meaning. Selected papers of Ruqaiya Hasan*. London: Cassell, 51-72
298. Hasan, R. (2007). *Context in the system and process of language. The collected works of Ruqaiya Hasan Vol. 4: Equinox*
299. Hasan, R. (2008). *Unity in discourse: Texture and structure. The collected works of Ruqaiya Hasan Vol. 6: Equinox*
300. Helscher, T. P. (1997). The subject of genre. En W. Bishop & H. Ostrum (Eds.), *Genre and writing*. Portsmouth, NH: Boynton/Cook, 27-36
301. Henry, A., & Roseberry, R. L. (2001). A narrow-angled corpus analysis of moves and strategies of the genre: 'Letter of application'. *English for Specific Purposes*, 20(2), 153-167
302. Herndl, C. G., Fennell, B. A., & Miller, C. R. (1991). Understanding failures in organizational discourse: The accident at Three Mile Island and the shuttle *Challenger* disaster. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 279-305
303. Herrington, A., & Cadman, D. (1991). Peer review in an anthropology course: Lessons for learning. *College Composition and Communication*, 42, 184-199
304. Herrington, A., & Moran, C. (Eds.). (1992). *Writing, teaching, and learning in the disciplines*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press
305. Hewings, A. (2004). Developing discipline-specific writing: An analysis of undergraduate geography essays. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London & New York: Continuum, 131-152
306. Hewings, M. (2001). *Academic writing in context: Implications and applications (paper in honor of Tony Dudley-Evans)*. Birmingham: The University of Birmingham Press
-

-
307. Hoey, M. (1994). Signalling in discourse: A functional analysis of a common discourse pattern in written and spoken discourse. En M. Coulthard (Ed.), *Advances in written text analysis*. London: Routledge, 26-45
308. Hoey, M. (2000). *Textual interaction: an introduction to written discourse analysis*. London & New York: Routledge
309. Holmes, R. (1997). Genre analysis and the social sciences: an investigation of the structure of research article discussion sections in three disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16(4), 321-337
310. Hood, S. (2004). Managing attitude in undergraduate academic writing: A focus on the introductions to research reports. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. New York & London: Continuum, 24-44
311. Hopkins, A., & Dudley-Evans, T. (1988). A genre-based investigations of the discussion sections in articles and dissertations. *English for Specific Purposes*, 7, 113-122
312. Horning, A., & Becker, A. (2006). *Revision. History, theory, and practice*. West Lafayette, Indiana: Parlor Press
313. Huddleston, R., Hudson, R., Winter, E., & Henrici, A. (1968). *Sentence and clause in scientific English*. London: London University College
314. Hunston, S. (1985). Text in world and world in text: Goals and models of scientific writing. *Nottingham Linguistic Circular*, 14, 25-40
315. Hunston, S. (1993). Evaluation and ideology in scientific writing. En M. Ghadessy (Ed.), *Register analysis: theory and practice*. London & New York: Pinter Publishers, 57-73
316. Hunston, S. (1993b). Professional conflict: disagreement in academic discourse. En M. Baker, G. Francis & E. Tognini-Bonelli (Eds.), *Text and technology. In honor of John Sinclair*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 115-134
317. Hunston, S. (1994). Evaluation and organization in a sample of written academic discourse. En M. Coulthard (Ed.), *Advances in written text analysis*. London: Routledge, 191-218
318. Hunston, S. (2005). Conflict and consensus: construing opposition in Applied Linguistics. En E. Tognini-Bonelli & G. d. Lungo Camiciotti (Eds.), *Strategies in academic dicourse*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 17-30
319. Hunt, R. (1984). Process vs. genre: Australian-rules martial arts in language education. *Inkshed*, 8(2), 14-18
320. Hyland, K. (1994). Hedging in academic writing and EAP textbooks. *English for Specific Purposes*, 13(3), 239-256
321. Hyland, K. (1998). Persuasion and context: The pragmatics of
-

-
- academic metadiscourse. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 30, 437-455
322. Hyland, K. (1998a). *Hedging in scientific research articles*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
323. Hyland, K. (1998b). Boosting, hedging and the negotiation of academic knowledge. *Text*, 18(3), 349-382
324. Hyland, K. (1999). Academic attribution: Citation and the construction of disciplinary knowledge. *Applied Linguistics*, 20(3), 341-367
325. Hyland, K. (2002). Activity and evaluation: reporting practices in academic writing. En J. Flowerdew (Ed.), *Academic discourse*. Harlow, UK: Longman, 115-130
326. Hyland, K. (2002). Genre: Language, context and literacy. *ARAL*, 22, 113-135
327. Hyland, K. (2004). Graduate's gratitude: The generic structure of dissertation acknowledgements. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23, 303-324
328. Hyland, K. (2004). Patterns of engagement: Dialogic features and L2 undergraduate writing. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London & New York: Continuum, 5-23
329. Hyland, K. (2004 [2000]). *Disciplinary discourses. Social interactions in academic writing*. Michigan, USA: The University of Michigan Press
330. Hyland, K. (2005a). *Metadiscourse: exploring interaction in writing*. London: Continuum
331. Hyland, K. (2005b). Stance and engagement: a model of interaction in academic discourse. *Discourse Studies*, 7(2), 173-191
332. Hyland, K., & Bondi, M. (Eds.). (2006). *Academic discourse across disciplines*: Peter Lang
333. Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2004). Metadiscourse in academic writing: A reappraisal. *Applied Linguistics*, 25(2), 156-177
334. Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2005a). Evaluative *that* constructions. Signalling stance in research articles. *Functions of Language*, 12(1), 39-63
335. Hyland, K., & Tse, P. (2006). Gender and disciplines: Exploring metadiscourse variation in academic book reviews. En K. Hyland & M. Bondi (Eds.), *Academic discourse across disciplines*: Peter Lang
336. Hyon, S. (1996). Genre in three traditions: Implications for ESL. *TESOL Quarterly*, 30(4), 693-722
337. Hyon, S., & Chen, R. (2004). Beyond the research article: University faculty genres and EAP graduate preparation. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23, 233-263
-

-
338. Ivanič, R. (1998). *Writing and identity: The discursal construction of identity in academic writing*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins
339. Jacob, D., & Kabatek, J. (Eds.). (2001). *Lengua medieval y tradiciones discursivas en la Península Ibérica. Descripción gramatical-pragmática histórica-metodología*. Madrid: Iberoamericana
340. Jacobi, D. (1984). Du discours scientifique, de sa reformulation et de quelques usages sociaux de la science. *Langue Française*, 64, 37-51
341. Johns, A. M. (1997). *Text, role and context. Developing academic literacies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
342. Johnson, D. M. (1992). Compliments and politeness in peer-review texts. *Applied Linguistics*, 13(1), 51-71
343. Johnson, D. M., & Roen, D. H. (1992). Complimenting and involvement in peer reviews: Gender variation. *Language in Society*, 21, 27-57
344. Johnson, D. M., & Yang, A. W. (1990). Politeness strategies in peer-review texts. *Pragmatics and Language Learning*, 1, 99-114
345. Johnson-Eilola, J. (2004). *Central works in technical communication*: Oxford University Press
346. Jones, J. (2004). Learning to write in the disciplines: The application of systemic functional linguistic theory to the teaching and research of student writing. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London & New York: Continuum, 254-273
347. Kaiser, D. (2005). Acerca del saber ajeno y del saber propio en escritos académicos. Un análisis contrastivo entre textos estudiantiles de Venezuela y Alemania. *Signo & Seña*, 14, 17-36
348. Kaiser, D. (2005). ¿Ensayo o artículo científico? Diferencias discursivas entre lingüística y literatura desde los principios del siglo XX. *Neue Romania*, 32, 35-58
349. Kamberelis, G. (1995). Genre as institutionally informed social practice. *Journal of Contemporary Legal Issues*, 6, 115-171
350. Keck, C. M., & Biber, D. (2004). Modal use in spoken and written university registers: A corpus-based study. En R. Facchinetti & F. Palmer (Eds.), *English modality in perspective: Genre analysis and contrastive studies*. Frankfurt: Peter Lang, 3-25
351. Kertész, A. (Ed.). (2001). *Approaches to the pragmatics of scientific discourse*. Frankfurt: Peter Lang
352. Kiely, R. (2004). Learning to critique in EAP. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 3, 211-227
353. Kilpiö, M. (1997). On the forms and functions of the verb be from Old to Modern English. En M. Rissanen, M. Kytö & K. Heikkinen (Eds.), *English in transition: corpus-based studies in linguistic*
-

variation and genre styles. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 101-120

354. Kitcher, P. (1991). Persuasion. En M. Pera & W. R. Shea (Eds.), *Persuading science: the art of scientific rhetoric*. Canton, Mass.: Watson, 3-27
355. Klein, I. (Ed.). (2007). *El taller del escritor universitario*. Buenos Aires: Biblos
356. Kohl, A., Motta-Roth, D., Palmer, C. C., & Sunderland, J. (2002). *Process and agency in methods sections of language studies journals*, ELI, University of Michigan
357. Koutsantoni, D. (2004). Attitude, certainty and allusions to common knowledge in scientific research articles. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 3, 163-182
358. Koutsantoni, D. (2007). *Developing academic literacies. Understanding disciplinary communities' cultures and rhetoric*. Oxford: Peter Lang
359. Kress, G. (1993). Genre as social process. En B. Cope & M. Kalantzis (Eds.), *The powers of literacy: A genre approach to teaching writing*. London: Falmer Press, 22-37
360. Kuguel, I. (2004). Estructura semántica del léxico especializado. *RASAL*, 2, 13-30
361. Kwan, B. S. C. (2006). The schematic structure of literature reviews in doctoral theses of applied linguistics. *English for Specific Purposes*, 25(1), 30-55
362. Lafuente Millán, E. (2000). Pragmatics and genre: the redress of FTAs in scientific articles from different areas of science. En M. P. Navarro Errasti, R. Lorés Sanz, S. Murillo Ornat & C. Buesa Gómez (Eds.), *Transcultural communication: Pragmalinguistic aspects*. Zaragoza: Anubar
363. Lauer, J. M. (2004). *Invention in rhetoric and composition*. West Lafayette, Indiana: Parlor Press
364. Le, E. (1999). The use of paragraphs in French and English academic writing: Towards a grammar of paragraphs. *Text*, 19(3), 307-343
365. Le, E. (2004). Active participation within written argumentation: Metadiscourse and editorialist's authority. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 36, 687-714
366. Leckie-Tarry, H. (1993). The specification of a text: register, genre and language teaching. En M. Ghadessy (Ed.), *Register analysis: theory and practice*. London & New York: Pinter Publishers, 26-42
367. Leckie-Tarry, H. (1995). *Language and context: a functional linguistic theory of register*. London & New York: Pinter Publishers
368. Lee, D. Y. W. (2002). Genres, registers, text types, domains, and styles: Clarifying the concepts and navigating a path through the

-
- BNC jungle. En B. Ketterman & G. Marko (Eds.), *Teaching and learning by doing corpus analysis*. Amsterdam & New York: Rodopi, 247-292
- 369.** Leki, I. (2002). *Academic writing: Exploring processes and strategies. Instructor's Manual to Accompany*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- 370.** Lemke, J. (1994). Genre as a strategic resource. In O. NCTE (Ed.). Orlando, FL
- 371.** Lewin, B. (1994). *A genre-based approach to scientific reports: the analysis of social science research texts*. Ph.D., Bar-Ilan University, Ramat-Gan, Israel
- 372.** Lewin, B. (2005). Contentiousness in science: the discourse of critique in two sociology journals. *Text*, 25(6), 723-744
- 373.** Lewin, B., & Fine, J. (1996). The writing of research texts: Genre analysis and its applications. En G. Rijlaarsdam, H. v. d. Bergh & M. Couzijn (Eds.), *Theories, models and methodology in writing research*. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press, 423-443
- 374.** Lewin, B., Fine, J., & Young, L. (2001). *Expository discourse: A genre-based approach to social science research texts*. London: Continuum
- 375.** Lewin, B. A. (1998). Hedging: form and function in scientific research texts. En I. Fortanet & S. Posteguillo (Eds.), *Genre studies in English for Academic Purposes*. Castellón: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I, 89-104
- 376.** Lewin, B. A. (2005). Hedging: an exploratory study of authors' and readers' identification of 'toning down' in scientific texts. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 4, 163-178
- 377.** Longacre, R. E. (1992). The discourse strategy of an appeals letter. En W. C. Mann & S. A. Thompson (Eds.), *Discourse description: Diverse linguistic analysis of a Fund-raising text*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 109-130
- 378.** López Arroyo, B. (2002). *Estudio descriptivo comparado inglés/español de la representación del conocimiento en los "abstracts" de las ciencias de la salud*. Tesis doctoral, Universidad de Valladolid, Valladolid
- 379.** López Ferrero, C. (2005). Funciones retóricas en la comunicación académica: formas léxicas de modalidad y evidencialidad. *Signo & Seña*, 14, 115-140
- 380.** Lorés Sanz, R., Mur Dueñas, P., & Lafuente Millán, E. (Eds.). (in press). *Actas del InterLAE International Conference on Interpersonality in Written Academic Discourse, University of Zaragoza (Spain) from 11-13 December 2008*
- 381.** Loukianenko, M. (2008). Different cultures - Different discourses? Rhetorical patterns of business letters by English and Russian
-

-
- speakers. En U. Connor, E. Nagelhout & W. Rozycki (Eds.), *Contrastive Rhetoric: reaching to Intercultural Rhetoric*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
- 382.** Loureda, Ó. (2003). *Introducción a la tipología textual*. Madrid: Arco Libros
- 383.** Luke, A. (1994). Genres of power: Literacy, education and the production of capital. En R. Hasan & G. Williams (Eds.), *Literacy in society*. London: Longman
- 384.** Lungo Camiciotti, G. d., & Tognini-Bonelli, E. (Eds.). (2004). *Academic discourse. New insights into evaluation*: Peter Lang
- 385.** Luukka, M.-R. (1996). Metadiscourse in academic texts. En B.-L. Gunnarsson, P. Linell & B. Nordberg (Eds.), *Text and talk in professional contexts*. Uppsala: ASLA, 77-88
- 386.** Macaulay, R. (2002). The question of genre. En P. Eckert & J. R. Rickford (Eds.), *Style and sociolinguistic variation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 78-82
- 387.** MacDonald, S. P. (1994). *Professional academic writing in the humanities and the social sciences*. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press
- 388.** Magnet, A., & Carnet, D. (2006). Letters to the editor: Still vigorous after all these years? A presentation of the discursive and linguistic features of the genre. *Critical Approaches to Discourse Analysis Across Disciplines*, 25, 173-199
- 389.** Maingueneau, D. (2002). Analysis of an academic genre. *Discourse Studies*, 4, 319-343
- 390.** Malinowski, B. (1960). *A scientific theory of culture and other essays. 2nd edition*. New York: Oxford University Press
- 391.** Mancho Duque, M. J. (2003). Aproximación al léxico de la ciencia aplicada en el Renacimiento hispano. *Asclepio: revista de historia de la medicina y la ciencia*, 55(2), 27-42
- 392.** Mancho Duque, M. J. (2004). La divulgación técnica: características lingüísticas. En M. Silva Suárez (Ed.), *El Renacimiento*: Prensa Universitaria, 307-340
- 393.** Mancho Duque, M. J. (2005). La divulgación científica y sus repercusiones léxicas en la época del Quijote. *Panacea: boletín de medicina y traducción*, 6(21-22), 285-298
- 394.** Mancho Duque, M. J. (2007). Oriente y occidente en el léxico de las matemáticas del Quinientos. En M. Campos Souto, R. Coteló García & J. I. Pérez Pascual (Eds.), *Historia del léxico español*. La Coruña: Universidade da Coruña, Servicio de Publicaciones, 97-108
- 395.** Mann, W. C., & Thompson, S. A. (1989). Rhetorical structure theory: A theory of text organization. En L. Polanyi (Ed.), *The structure of discourse*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation
-

-
396. Marín-Arrese, J. I., Hidalgo Downing, L., & Molina Plaza, S. (2004). Evidential, epistemic and deontic modality in English and Spanish: the expression of writer stance in newspaper discourse. En R. Facchinetti & F. Palmer (Eds.), *English modality in perspective: Genre analysis and contrastive studies*. Frankfurt: Peter Lang, 121-139
397. Markkanen, A., & Schröder, H. (Eds.). (1997). *Hedging and discourse: Approaches to the analysis of a pragmatic phenomenon in academic texts*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter
398. Martin, J. R. (1985). Process and text: two aspects of human semiosis. En J. D. Benson & W. S. Greaves (Eds.), *Systemic perspectives on discourse. Vol. 1. Selected theoretical papers from the 9th International Systemic Workshop*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex, 248-274
399. Martin, J. R. (1989 [1985]). *Factual writing: exploring and challenging social reality*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
400. Martin, J. R. (1992). *English text. System and structure*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins
401. Martin, J. R. (1993). Genre and literacy - modelling context in educational linguistics. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 13, 141-172
402. Martin, J. R. (1993?). Lexical cohesion, field and genre: Parcelling experience and discourse goals. En J. E. Copeland (Ed.), *Text semantics and discourse semantics*. Houston: Rice University Press
403. Martin, J. R. (1996). Types of structure: Deconstructing notions of constituency in clause and text. En E. H. Hovy & D. R. Scott (Eds.), *Computational and conversational discourse: Burning issues - An interdisciplinary account*. Heidelberg: Springer, 39-66
404. Martin, J. R. (1997). Analysing genre: functional parameters. En F. Christie & J. R. Martin (Eds.), *Genre and institutions*. London: Continuum, 3-39
405. Martin, J. R. (1997). Register and genre: modelling social context in functional linguistics - narrative genres. En E. Pedro (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 1st Lisbon International Meeting on Discourse Analysis*. Lisbon: Colibri/APL, 305-344
406. Martin, J. R. (1998). Discourses of science: recontextualisation, genesis, intertextuality and hegemony. En J. R. Martin & R. Veel (Eds.), *Reading science: Critical and functional perspectives on discourse of science*. London & New York: Routledge, 3-14
407. Martin, J. R. (1999). Mentoring semogenesis: 'Genre-based' literacy pedagogy. En F. Christie (Ed.), *Pedagogy and the shaping of consciousness: Linguistic and social processes*. London: Cassell, 123-155
408. Martin, J. R. (2000). Close reading: Functional linguistics as a tool
-

-
- for critical analysis. En L. Unsworth (Ed.), *Researching language in schools and communities: Functional linguistic perspectives*. London: Cassell, 275-303
- 409.** Martin, J. R. (2000 [1984]). Language, register and genre. En A. Burns (Ed.), *Analysing English in a global context: a reader*. Florence, KY, USA: Routledge, 149-166. Publicado originalmente en F. Christie (ed.) (1984). *Children writing: a reader*. Geelong, Vic.: Deakin University Press, pp. 21-30.
- 410.** Martin, J. R. (2001). Cohesion and texture. En D. Schiffrin, D. Tannen & H. E. Hamilton (Eds.), *The handbook of Discourse Analysis*. Malden, Massachusetts & Oxford: Blackwell, 35-53
- 411.** Martin, J. R. (2001). A context for genre: Modelling social processes in functional linguistics. En R. Stainton & J. Devilliers (Eds.), *Communication in linguistics*. Toronto: GREF, 1-41
- 412.** Martin, J. R. (2001). Giving the game away: Explicitness, diversity and genre-based literacy in Australia. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 20, 116-126
- 413.** Martin, J. R., Christie, F., & Rothery, J. (1987). Social processes in education: A reply to Sawyer and Watson (and others). En I. Reid (Ed.), *The place of genre in learning: Current debates*. Geelong, Vic.: Deakin University Center for Studies in Literary Education, 46-57
- 414.** Martin, J. R., & Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (1991). Systemic typology and topology. En F. Christie (Ed.), *Literacy in social processes: Papers from the inaugural Australian Systemic Linguistics Conference, held at Deakin University, January 1990*. Darwin: Centre for Studies in Language in Education, Northern Territory University, 345-383
- 415.** Martin, J. R., & Plum, G. (1997). Construing experience: some story genres. *Journal of Narrative and Life History*, 7(1-4), 299-308
- 416.** Martin, J. R., & Rose, D. (2003). *Working with discourse: meaning beyond the clause*. London & New York: Continuum
- 417.** Martin, J. R., & Rose, D. (en prensa). *Genre relations: mapping culture*. London: Equinox
- 418.** Martin, J. R., & Veel, R. (Eds.). (1998). *Reading science: critical and functional perspectives on discourse of science*. London: Routledge
- 419.** Martín Municio, Á. (1998). *El español y la ciencia*. Presentado en Congreso de la Real Academia Española de la Lengua, Valladolid
- 420.** Massi, M. P. (2003). Procedimientos de cita en la construcción del discurso académico. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
- 421.** Masuku, N. (1996). *A lexicogrammatical approach to the analysis of*
-

rhetorical goals in professional academic writing in the social sciences. Ph.D., University of Birmingham, Birmingham

422. Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (1993). Register in the round. En M. Ghadessy (Ed.), *Register analysis: theory and practice*. London & New York: Pinter Publishers, 221-292
423. Matthiessen, C. M. I. M. (in press). Combining clauses into clause complexes: A multi-faceted view. En J. Biber & M. Noonan (Eds.), *Complex sentences in grammar and discourse: Essays in honor of Sandra A. Thompson*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 237-322
424. Mauranen, A. (2002). 'A good question': Expressing evaluation in academic speech. En G. Cortese & P. Riley (Eds.), *Domain-specific English: Textual practices across communities and classrooms*. Frankfurt: Peter Lang, 115-140
425. Mauranen, A. (2004). 'They're a little bit different': Variation in hedging in academic speech. En K. Ajmer & A.-B. Stenström (Eds.), *Discourse patterns in spoken and written corpora*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins
426. Mayer, F. (Ed.). (2001). *Language for special purposes: Perspectives for the new millennium. Vol. 2. LSP in academic discourse and in the fields of law, business and medicine*. Tübingen: Narr
427. Mazzola, I. (2004). Lecturas de Bajtín. El problema de los "Géneros Discursivos". *Razón y Palabra*, 41
428. McCloskey, D. (1997). Big rhetoric, little rhetoric: Gaonkar on the rhetoric of science. En A. G. Gross & W. M. Keith (Eds.), *Rhetorical hermeneutics*. Albany: State University of New York Press, 101-112
429. McLeod, S. H. (1988). *Strengthening programs for writing across the curriculum*. San Francisco & London: Jossey-Bass Inc., Publishers
430. McLeod, S. H., & Soven, M. (Eds.). (1992). *Writing across the curriculum: A guide to developing programs*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage
431. Melander, B. (1998). Culture or genre? Issues in the interpretation of cross-cultural differences in scientific articles. En I. Fortanet & S. Posteguillo (Eds.), *Genre studies in English for Academic Purposes*. Castellón: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I, 211-226
432. Menéndez, S. M. (2002). Estrategias discursivas en el discurso epistolar de Hispanoamérica (siglos XVI a XVIII). En M. T. Echenique Elizondo & J. Sánchez Méndez (Eds.), *Actas del V Congreso Internacional de Historia de la Lengua Española, Valencia, 31 de enero al 4 de febrero de 2000*. Madrid: Gredos, 1283-1294
433. Mercado López, S. (2003). Estructura y relación de poder en los

-
- prospectos de medicamentos vendidos en España. *Estudios Filológicos*, 38, 93-110
434. Merrill, J. B. (2007). Stories of narrative: On social scientific uses of narrative in multiple disciplines. *Colorado research in linguistics*, 20
435. Meurer, J. L., Bonini, A., & Motta-Roth, D. (Eds.). (2005). *Gêneros: teorias, métodos, debates*. São Paulo: Parábola
436. Meyer, P. G. (1997). Hedging strategies in written academic discourse: Strengthening the arguments by weakening the claim. En R. Markkanen & H. Schröder (Eds.), *Hedging and discourse: Approaches to the analysis of a pragmatic phenomenon in academic texts*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 21-41
437. Miller, C. R. (1994). Rhetorical community: The cultural basis of genre. En A. Freedman & A. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric*. London: Taylor & Francis
438. Miller, C. R. (1994 [1984]). Genre as social action. En A. Freedman & A. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric*. London: Taylor & Francis, 23-42
439. Montolío, E. (Ed.). (2000). *Manual práctico de escritura*. Barcelona: Ariel
440. Montolío, E. (2001). *Conectores de la lengua escrita: contraargumentativos, consecutivos, aditivos y organizadores de la información*. Barcelona: Ariel
441. Moreno, A. I. (1997). Genre constrains across languages: Causal metatext in Spanish and English RAs. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16(3), 161-179
442. Moreno, A. I. (1998). The explicit signalling of premise-conclusion sequences in research articles: A contrastive framework. *Text*, 18(4), 545-585
443. Moreno, A. I. (2008). The importance of comparable corpora in cross-cultural studies. En U. Connor, E. Nagelhout & W. Rozycki (Eds.), *Contrastive Rhetoric: reaching to Intercultural Rhetoric*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
444. Moreno, A. I., & Suárez, L. (2008a). The rhetorical structure of academic book reviews of literature. An English-Spanish cross-cultural approach. En U. Connor, E. Nagelhout & W. Rozycki (Eds.), *Contrastive Rhetoric: reaching to Intercultural Rhetoric*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
445. Moreno, A. I., & Suárez Tejerina, L. (2008b). A study of critical attitude across English and Spanish academic book reviews. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*
446. Moreno, A. I., & Suárez Tejerina, L. (aceptado). Comparing evaluation resources. A framework for comparing evaluation resources across academic texts. *Text & Talk*
447. Moreno, A. I., & Suárez Tejerina, L. (aceptado). Evaluation in
-

literary academic journal book reviews: The interplay between the propositional plane and the interpersonal and the textual functions of language. *Functions of Language*

448. Moris, J. P., & Navarro, F. (en prensa). Género y Registro en la Lingüística Sistémico Funcional. Un relevo crítico. En *Actas del I Coloquio Argentino del Grupo ECLAR Texto y Género, Universidad Nacional de la Plata, Argentina, 3 y 4 de diciembre de 2007*
449. Motchane, J. L. (1990). Chercher, inventer, innover dans la langue. En B. Cassen (Ed.), *Quelles langues pour la science?* Paris: Editions de la Découverte, 4-62
450. Motta-Roth, D. (1996). Same genre, different discipline: A genre-based study of book reviews in academe. *The ESPecialist*, 17(2), 99-132
451. Motta-Roth, D. (1998). Discourse analysis and academic book reviews: A study of text and disciplinary cultures. En I. Fortanet & S. Posteguillo (Eds.), *Genre studies in English for Academic Purposes*. Castellón: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I, 29-58
452. Motta-Roth, D. (2000). Gêneros discursivos no ensino de línguas para fins acadêmicos. En M. B. Mota Fortkamp & L. M. Braga Tomitch (Eds.), *Aspectos da Lingüística Aplicada: estudos em homenagem ao Professor Hilário Inácio Bohn*. Florianópolis: Insular, 167-184. vol. 1.
453. Motta-Roth, D. (2004). *Questões de metodologia em análise de gêneros*. Presentado en II SIGET-Simpósio Nacional de Estudo dos Gêneros Textuais. União da Vitória, PR: FAFI. 06 de agosto de 2004
454. Moyano, E. (2007). Enseñanza de habilidades discursivas en español en contexto pre-universitario: una aproximación desde la LSF. *Signos*, 40. Editada por la Pontificia Universidad Católica de Valparaíso (Chile).
455. Müller, G. E. (2003). Valores funcionales del complemento restrictivo en textos científicos y de divulgación de ciencia. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
456. Musolff, A. (2007). Popular science concepts and their use in creative metaphors in media discourse. *Metaphorik.de*, 13
457. Myers, G. (1989). The pragmatics of politeness in scientific articles. *Applied Linguistics*, 10(1), 1-35
458. Myers, G. (1990). *Writing biology: Texts in the social construction of scientific knowledge*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press
459. Myers, G. (1991). Stories and styles in two molecular biology review articles. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of

460. Myers, G. (1992). 'In this paper we report...': Speech acts and scientific facts. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 17, 295-313
461. Myers, G. (1992). Textbooks and the sociology of scientific knowledge. *English for Specific Purposes*, 11, 3-17
462. Myers, G. (1994). Narratives of science and nature in popularizing molecular genetics. En M. Coulthard (Ed.), *Advances in written text analysis*. London: Routledge, 179-190
463. Myers, G. (1996). Strategic vagueness in academic writing. En E. Ventola & A. Mauranen (Eds.), *Academic writing. Intercultural and textual issues*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 3-17
464. Myers, G. (1999). Interaction in writing: Principles and problems. En C. N. Candlin & K. Hyland (Eds.), *Writing: Texts, processes and practices*. London: Longman
465. Myers, G. (2000). Powerpoints: Technology, lectures, and changing genres. En A. Trosborg (Ed.), *Analysing professional genres*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 177-192
466. Namsaraev, V. (1997). Hedging in Russian academic writing in sociological texts. En R. Markkanen & H. Schröder (Eds.), *Hedging and discourse: Approaches to the analysis of a pragmatic phenomenon in academic texts*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter
467. Nash, W. (1990). Introduction: The stuff these people write. En W. Nash (Ed.), *The writing scholar. Studies in academic discourse*. Newbury Park, London & New Delhi: Sage Publications, 8-30
468. Nash, W. (Ed.). (1990). *The writing scholar. Studies in academic discourse*. Newbury Park, London & New Delhi: Sage Publications
469. Navarro, F. (2006). Review: Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks [Electronic Version]. *Linguist List* from <http://linguistlist.org/issues/17/17-1310.html>
470. Navarro, F. (2006a). Un índice crítico para la *Revista de Filología Hispánica* (1939-1946). En M. Villayandre Llamazares (Ed.), *Actas del XXXV Simposio de la Sociedad Española de Lingüística. León, 12 al 15 de diciembre de 2005*. León: Universidad de León, 1383-1404. Disponible en www3.unileon.es/dp/dfh/SEL/actas.htm.
471. Navarro, F. (2006b). La reparación en la estructura retórica de la Reseña Académica de Libros, o cómo el escritor se transforma en autor. El caso de la *Revista de Filología Hispánica*. En M. C. Pérez-Llantada Auría, R. Plo Alastrué & C. P. Neumann (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 5th International Conference of the European Association of Languages for Specific Purposes (AELFE). Zaragoza, 14 al 16 de septiembre de 2006*. Zaragoza: Prensas Universitarias de Zaragoza. Editado en CD.
472. Nicolaisen, J. (2002). The scholarliness of published peer reviews: A bibliometric study of book reviews in selected social science fields.

473. Nicolaisen, J. (2002). Structure-based interpretation of scholarly book reviews: A new research technique. En AAVV (Ed.), *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Conceptions of Library and Information Science*, 123-135
474. Noblia, V. (2005). Una aproximación teórica y práctica a la definición del chat como género discursivo. En S. Santos & J. Panesi (Eds.), *Actas del Congreso Internacional: Debates actuales. Las teorías críticas de la literatura y la lingüística. Buenos Aires, 18 al 21 de octubre de 2004*. Buenos Aires: FFyL, Universidad de Buenos Aires. Editado en CD.
475. Noguchi, J. T. (2006). *The science review article: An opportune genre in the construction of science*: Peter Lang
476. Nogueira, S., Croci, P., & Varela, L. (2007). *La lectura y la escritura en el inicio de los estudios superiores. Prácticas de taller sobre discursos académico, político y parlamentario*. Buenos Aires: Biblos
477. North, S. (1992). On book reviews in rhetoric and composition. *Rhetoric review*, 10, 348-363
478. Nostrand, A. D. v. (1994). A genre map of R & D knowledge production for the US Department of Defense. En A. Freedman & A. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric*. London: Taylor & Francis
479. Nystrand, M. (1982). Rhetoric's "Audience" and Linguistic's "Speech Community": implications for understanding writing, reading, and text. En M. Nystrand (Ed.), *What writers know. The language, process, and structure of written discourse*. Orlando, FL: Academic Press, 1-28
480. Nystrand, M. (Ed.). (1982). *What writers know: the language, process, and structure of written discourse*. Orlando, FL: Academic Press
481. Nystrand, M. (1987). The role of context in written communication. En R. Horowitz & S. J. Samuels (Eds.), *Comprehending oral and written language*. San Diego, CA: Academic Press, 197-214
482. Nystrand, M. (1989). A social interactive model of writing. *Written Communication*, 6, 66-85
483. Odell, L., Goswami, D., & Herrington, A. (1983). The discourse-based interview: A procedure for exploring the tacit knowledge of writers in non-academic settings. En P. Mosenthal, L. Tamor & S. A. Walmsley (Eds.), *Research on writing: Principles and methods*. New York: Longman
484. Oesterreicher, W. (2001). La 'recontextualización' de los géneros medievales como tarea hermenéutica. En D. Jacob & J. Kabatek (Eds.), *Lengua medieval y tradiciones discursivas en la Península*

Ibérica. Descripción gramatical-pragmática histórica-metodología.
Madrid: Iberoamericana, 199-231

485. Olsen, L. A., & Ulijn, J. M. (Eds.). (1994). *Language for science and technology: A multinational perspective.* Michigan, USA
486. Ong, W. J. (1987 [1982]). *Oralidad y escritura. Tecnologías de la palabra.* Buenos Aires: Fondo de Cultura Económica
487. Orteza y Miranda, E. (1996). On book reviewing. *Journal of Educational Thought, 30*(2), 191-202
488. Oxman, A., Guyatt, G., Singer, J., Goldsmith, C. H., Hutchinson, B., Milner, R., et al. (1991). Agreement of among reviewers of review articles. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology, 44*(1), 91-98
489. Padilla, C. (2004). La comprensión de textos académicos en estudiantes universitarios: el caso de la dimensión polémica. *RASAL, 2*, 45-66
490. Pak, C.-S., & Acebedo, R. (2008). Spanish language newspaper editorials from Mexico, Spain, and the U.S. En U. Connor, E. Nagelhout & W. Rozycki (Eds.), *Contrastive Rhetoric: reaching to Intercultural Rhetoric.* Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
491. Paltridge, B. (1993). Writing up research: A systemic functional perspective. *System, 21*(2), 175-192
492. Paltridge, B. (1994). Genre analysis and the identification of textual boundaries. *Applied Linguistics, 15*(3), 288-299
493. Paltridge, B. (1995). Working with genre: A pragmatic perspective. *Journal of Pragmatics, 24*(4), 393-406
494. Paltridge, B. (1997). *Genre, frames and writing in research settings.* Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
495. Paltridge, B. (2004). The exegesis as a genre: An ethnographic examination. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks.* London & New York: Continuum, 84-103
496. Paradis, J. (1991). Text and action: The operator's manual in context and in court. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities.* Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 256-278
497. Paré, A., & Smart, G. (1994). Observing genres in action: Towards a research methodology. En A. Freedman & A. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric.* London: Taylor & Francis
498. Parkinson, J., & Adendorff, R. (2004). The use of popular science articles in teaching scientific literacy. *English for Specific Purposes, 23*, 379-396
499. Parkinson McCarthy, L. (1991). A psychiatrist using *DSM-III*: The influence of a charter document in psychiatry. En C. Bazerman & J.

-
- Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 358-380
500. Parsons, G. (1990). *Cohesion and coherence: Scientific texts - A comparative study*. Nottingham: Department of English Studies, University of Nottingham
501. Paul, D., Charney, D., & Kendall, A. (2001). Moving beyond the moment: Reception studies in the rhetoric of science. *Journal of Technical and Business Communication*, 15, 372-399
502. Pecorari, D. (2006). Visible and occluded citation features in postgraduate second-language writing. *English for Specific Purposes*, 25, 4-29
503. Perelman, L. (1991). The medieval art of letter writing: Rhetoric as institutional expression. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 97-119
504. Pérez-Llantada Auría, C., & Plo Alastrué, R. (1998). Re-thinking rhetorical strategies in academic genres. En I. Fortanet & S. Posteguillo (Eds.), *Genre studies in English for Academic Purposes*. Castellón: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I, 79-88
505. Pérez-Llantada Auría, M. C. (2003). Communication skills in academic monologue discourse. Empirical and applied perspectives. *Clac*, 15
506. Petric, B. (2005). Contrastive rhetoric in the writing classroom: a case study. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24, 213-228
507. Pinch, T. (1985). Towards an analysis of scientific observation: The externality and evidential significance of observational reports in physics. *Social Studies of Science*, 15, 3-36
508. Piper, A. (2000). Some have credit cards and others have giro cheques: "individuals" and "people" as lifelong learners in late modernity. *Discourse and Society*, 11(3), 515-542
509. Pique, J., & Andreu-Beso, V. (1998). Bridging the gap in scientific articles. En I. Fortanet & S. Posteguillo (Eds.), *Genre studies in English for Academic Purposes*. Castellón: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I, 173-190
510. Pountain, C. J. (2006). Towards a history of register in Spanish. *Spanish in Context*, 3(1), 5-24
511. Prelli, L. J. (1989). *A rhetoric of science: Inventing scientific discourse*. Columbia: University of South Carolina Press
512. Prinsloo, M., & Bynham, M. (Eds.). (2008). *Literacies, global and local*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins
513. Propp, V. (2006 [1928]). *Morfología del cuento*. Madrid: Editorial Fundamentos
-

-
514. Ravelli, L. J. (2004). Signalling the organization of written texts: Hyper-themes in management and history essays. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London & New York: Continuum, 104-130
515. Ravelli, L. J., & Ellis, R. A. (Eds.). (2005 [2004]). *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London & New York: Continuum
516. Rescki, L. (2005). Interpersonal engagement in academic spoken discourse: a functional account of dissertation defenses. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24, 5-23
517. Reynolds, M., & Dudley-Evans, T. (Eds.). (in press). *Genre analysis: Current perspectives, applications and contributions*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
518. Rezzano, N. S. (2004). Conciencia metadiscursiva y comprensión de textos académicos (inglés) en el contexto universitario. *RASAL*, 2, 155-170
519. Rezzano, N. S. (2004). Modality and modal responsibility in research articles in English. En R. Facchinetti & F. Palmer (Eds.), *English modality in perspective: Genre analysis and contrastive studies*. Frankfurt: Peter Lang, 101-118
520. Rice, W. C. (1991). *Toward renewal of audience: college writing, academic culture, and public intellectual discourse*. PhD, University of Michigan, Michigan, USA
521. Riley, P. (1996). "Look in thy Heart and Write". Students' representations of writing and learning to write in a foreign language. En E. Ventola & A. Mauranen (Eds.), *Academic writing. Intercultural and textual issues*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 115-135
522. Rissanen, M., Kytö, M., & Heikkonen, K. (Eds.). (1997). *English in transition: corpus-based studies in linguistic variation and genre styles*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter
523. Rizomilioti. (2001?). *Modality in academic discourse*. Ph.D., University of Birmingham, Birmingham
524. Rogers, M. (2000). Genre and terminology. En A. Trosborg (Ed.), *Analysing professional genres*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 3-21
525. Römer, U. (2005). 'This seems somewhat counterintuitive, though...' - Negative evaluation in linguistic book reviews by male and female authors. En E. Tognini-Bonelli & G. d. Lungo Camiciotti (Eds.), *Strategies in academic discourse*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 97-116
526. Romero Guillén, M. D. (2000). Veterinary discourse: How information can be transmitted in two parallel genres. En M. P. Navarro Errasti, R. Lorés Sanz, S. Murillo Omat & C. Buesa Gómez
-

-
- (Eds.), *Transcultural communication: Pragmalinguistic aspects*. Zaragoza: Anubar, 187-191
527. Rosmarin, A. (1985). *The power of genre*. Minneapolis: University of Minnesota Press
528. Rowley-Jolivet, E., & Carter-Thomas, S. (2005). Genre awareness and rhetorical appropriacy: manipulation of information structure by NS and NNS scientists in the international conference setting. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24, 41-64
529. Russell, D. (1991). *Writing in the academic disciplines, 1870-1990: A curricular history*. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press
530. Salager-Meyer, F. (1994). Hedges and textual communicative function in medical English written discourse. *English for Specific Purposes*, 13(2), 149-170
531. Salager-Meyer, F. (1998a). From 'Mr. Guthrie is profoundly mistaken...' to 'Our data do not seem to confirm the results of a previous study on...': A diachronic study of polemicity in academic writing (1810-1995). *Ibérica*, 1, 5-28
532. Salager-Meyer, F. (1998b). The rationale behind academic conflict: from outright criticism to contextual niche creation. *UNESCO ALSED-LSP Newsletter*, 21(2), 4-23
533. Salager-Meyer, F. (1999). Referential behavior in scientific writing: A diachronic study (1810-1995). *English for Specific Purposes*, 18(3), 279-305
534. Salager-Meyer, F. (2000a). Contentiousness in written medical English discourse: a diachronic study (1810-1995). *Text*, 19(3), 371-398
535. Salager-Meyer, F. (2001). 'This book portrays the worst form of mental terrorism': Critical speech acts in medical English *book reviews* (1940-2000). En A. Kertész (Ed.), *Approaches to the Pragmatics of Scientific Discourse*. Frankfurt: Peter Lang, 47-72
536. Salager-Meyer, F. (2002). Market-place, self-confidence and criticism in medical editorials. *Revista Canaria de Estudios Ingleses*, 44, 65-78
537. Salager-Meyer, F. (2007). Crítica, ciencia e historia: el caso de España. En M. V. Calvi & L. Chierichetti (Eds.), *Nuevas Tendencias en el Discurso de Especialidad*: Peter Lang
538. Salager-Meyer, F., & Alcaraz Ariza, M. Á. (2001). Lo cortés no quita lo valiente: la retórica de la discrepancia en el discurso médico escrito en español. En J. C. Palmer, S. Posteguillo & I. Fortanet (Eds.), *Discourse analysis and terminology in Languages for Specific Purposes*. Castellón: Universitat Jaume I, 15-25
539. Salager-Meyer, F., Alcaraz Ariza, M. Á., & Pabón Berbesí, M. (2007). Collegiality, critique and the construction of scientific
-

-
- argumentation in medical book reviews: A diachronic approach. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 39(10), 1758-1774
540. Salager-Meyer, F., Alcaraz Ariza, M. Á., & Zambrano, N. (2003). The scimitar, the dagger and the glove: intercultural differences in the rhetoric of criticism in Spanish, French and English Medical Discourse (1930-1995). *English for Specific Purposes*, 22, 223-247
541. Salager-Meyer, F., & Defives, G. (1998). From the gentleman's courtesy to the scientist's caution: a diachronic study of hedges in academic writing (1810-1995). En I. Fortanet & S. Posteguillo (Eds.), *Genre studies in English for Academic Purposes*. Castellón: Publicaciones de la Universitat Jaume I, 133-171
542. Salager-Meyer, F., Defives, G., Jensen, C., & Filipis, M. d. (1989). Communicative function and grammatical variations in medical English scholarly papers: A genre analysis study. En C. Lauren & N. Nordman (Eds.), *Special language: From humans thinking to thinking machines*. Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd., 151-160
543. Salager-Meyer, F., & Zambrano, N. (2001). The bittersweet rhetoric of controversiality in 19th and 20th century French and English medical literature. *Journal of Historical Pragmatics*, 2(1), 141-174
544. Salto, G. N. (2003). La escritura de la ciencia: debates en las ciencias naturales. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
545. Sampson, J. (1997). 'Genre', 'style' and 'register', sources of confusion? *Revue Belge de Philologie et d'Histoire*, 75(3), 699-708
546. Samraj, B. (2001). Influences of discipline upon genre. In AAAL (Ed.). St. Louis
547. Samraj, B. (2005). An exploration of a genre set: Research article abstracts and introductions in two disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24(2), 141-156
548. Sánchez González de Herrero, M. N. (1992). Derivados verbales contenidos en textos médicos medievales castellanos. En M. Ariza, R. Cano Aguilar, J. M. Mendoza & A. Narbona (Eds.), *Actas del II Congreso Internacional de Historia de la lengua española, Sevilla, 5 de marzo de 1990*. Madrid: Pabellón de España
549. Sánchez González de Herrero, M. N. (2006). Problemas de definición e interpretación de los tecnicismos médicos medievales. En J. J. d. Bustos Tovar (Ed.), *Actas del VI Congreso Internacional de Historia de la Lengua Española, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 29 de septiembre al 3 de octubre de 2003*. Madrid: Arco Libros, 1695-1704, vol. 1692
550. Sanz Álava, I. (2007). *El español profesional y académico en el aula universitaria: Tirant lo Blanch*
551. Sardinha, A. P. B. (1995). Corpus choices in a short journalistic text.
-

552. Savory, T. (1967). *The language of science*. London: André Deutsch Limited
553. Saz Rubio, M. M. d. (2001). A pragmatic insight into the use of reader-oriented hedges: accomplishing the necessary attention to the audience in research articles. En J. C. Palmer, S. Posteguillo & I. Fortanet (Eds.), *Discourse analysis and terminology in Languages for Specific Purposes*. Castellón: Universitat Jaume I, 259-270
554. Schaeffer, J.-M. (2006). *¿Qué es un género literario?* Buenos Aires: Biblos
555. Schleppegrell, M. J. (2004). Technical writing in a second language: The role of grammatical metaphor. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London & New York: Continuum, 172-189
556. Schramm, A. (1996). Using aspects to express viewpoints in EST texts. *English for Specific Purposes*, 15(2), 141-164
557. Schryer, C. F. (1994). The lab vs. the clinic: Sites of competing genres. En A. Freedman & A. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric*. London: Taylor & Francis
558. Schwanzer, V. (1981). Syntaktisch-stilistische Universalien in den Fachsprachen. En T. Bungarten (Ed.), *Wissenschaftssprache*. München: Fink
559. Schwegler, R. A., & Shamon, L. K. (1991). Meaning attribution in ambiguous texts in sociology. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 216-233
560. Seilig, M. (2001). El problema de la tipología de los textos románicos primitivos. En D. Jacob & J. Kabatek (Eds.), *Lengua medieval y tradiciones discursivas en la Península Ibérica. Descripción gramatical-pragmática histórica-metodológica*. Madrid: Iberoamericana, 233-248
561. Selosse, P. (2005). Une nomenclature dynamique adaptée à la connaissance scientifique: l'exemple de la botanique à la Renaissance. En T. Cabré & C. Bach (Eds.), *Coneixement, llenguatge i discurs especialitzat*. Barcelona: Institut Universitari de Lingüística Aplicada (IULA), Universitat Pompeu Fabra, 183-206
562. Sequera, R. (Ed.). (2006). *Ciencia, tecnología y lengua española: la terminología científica en español*. Madrid: Fundación Española para la Ciencia y la Tecnología (FECYT)
563. Shaw, P. (2000). Towards classifying the arguments in research genres. En A. Trosborg (Ed.), *Analysing professional genres*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 41-56
564. Shaw, P. (2003). Evaluation and promotion across languages.

565. Shaw, P. (2004). How do we recognise implicit evaluation in academic book reviews? En G. d. Lungo Camiciotti & E. Tognini-Bonelli (Eds.), *Academic discourse. New insights into evaluation*. Bern: Peter Lang, 121-140
566. Silver, M. (2003). The stance of stance: a critical look at ways stance is expressed and modeled in academic discourse. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 2(4), 359-374
567. Simpson, P. (1990). Modality in literary-critical discourse. En W. Nash (Ed.), *The writing scholar. Studies in academic discourse*. Newbury Park, London & New Delhi: Sage Publications, 63-94
568. Sosa de Montyn, S., Mazzuchino, M. G., & Loss, T. (2003). Una aproximación al artículo científico en el campo del derecho. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba
569. Soto, G. (2005). Los géneros discursivos como tecnologías cognitivas. *RASAL*, 1, 37-52
570. Spilka, R. (1993). *Writing in the workplace: New research perspectives*. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University Press
571. Starfield, S. (2004). Word power: Negotiating success in a first-year sociology essay. En L. J. Ravelli & R. A. Ellis (Eds.), *Analysing academic writing. Contextualized frameworks*. London & New York: Continuum, 66-83
572. Steinmann, M. J. (1982). Speech-act theory and writing. En M. Nystrand (Ed.), *What writers know. The language, process, and structure of written discourse*. Orlando, FL: Academic Press, 291-323
573. Stotesbury, H. (2006). Gaps and false conclusions: Criticism in research article abstracts across disciplines. En K. Hyland & M. Bondi (Eds.), *Academic discourse across disciplines*: Peter Lang
574. Street, B., & Lefstein, A. (Eds.). (2008). *Literacy. An advanced resource book*: Routledge
575. Stygall, G. (1991). Texts in oral context: The "transmission" of jury instructions in an Indiana trial. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Michigan, 234-255
576. Suárez, L. (2006). *Estrategias de evaluación y patrones retóricos: Estudio contrastivo inglés-español de reseñas de libros*. Doctorado, Universidad de León, León. Tesis doctoral inédita.
577. Swales, J. M. (1981). *Aspects of article introductions*. Birmingham, UK: The University of Aston
578. Swales, J. M. (1984). Research into the structure of introductions to

-
- journal articles and its application to the teaching of academic writing. En R. Williams, J. M. Swales & J. Kirkman (Eds.), *Common ground. Shared interests in ESP and communication studies*. Oxford: The British Council by Pergamon Press, 77-86
- 579.** Swales, J. M. (1990). *Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings*. Glasgow: Cambridge University Press
- 580.** Swales, J. M. (1996). Occluded genres in the academy: The case of the submission letter. En E. Ventola & A. Mauranen (Eds.), *Academic writing. Intercultural and textual issues*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 45-58
- 581.** Swales, J. M. (1998). *Other floors, other voices: A textography of a small university building*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- 582.** Swales, J. M. (2004). *Research genres. Exploration and applications*. Glasgow: Cambridge University Press
- 583.** Swales, J. M., & Burke, A. (2003). 'It's really fascinating work': Differences in evaluative adjectives across academic genres. En P. Leistyna & C. F. Meyer (Eds.), *Corpus analysis: Language structure and language use*. Amsterdam: Rodopi, 1-18
- 584.** Swales, J. M., & Feak, C. B. (1994). *Academic writing for graduate students*. Ann Arbor, MI: University of Michigan Press
- 585.** Swales, J. M., & Lindemann, S. (2002). Teaching the literature review to international graduate students. En A. Johns (Ed.), *Genre in the classroom: Multiple perspectives*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 105-119
- 586.** Taavitsainen, I. (1993). Genre/subgenre styles in Late Middle English. En M. Rissanen, M. Kytö & M. Palander-Collin (Eds.), *Early English in the computer age. Explorations through the Helsinki corpus*. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter, 171-200
- 587.** Taavitsainen, I. (1994). On the evolution of scientific writings from 1375 to 1675: Repertoire of emotive features. En F. Fernández, M. Fuster & J. J. Calvo (Eds.), *English historical linguistics: Papers from the 7th international conference on English historical linguistics. Valencia, 22-26 September, 1992*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 329-342
- 588.** Taavitsainen, I. (1997). Genre conventions: personal affect in fiction and non-fiction in Early Modern English. En M. Rissanen, M. Kytö & K. Heikkonen (Eds.), *English in transition: corpus-based studies in linguistic variation and genre styles*. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 185-266
- 589.** Taavitsainen, I. (1997). Genres and text types in Medieval and Renaissance English. *Poetica*, 47, 49-62
- 590.** Taavitsainen, I. (2001). Changing conventions of writing: the dynamics of genres, text types, and text traditions. En L. Moessner
-

(Ed.), *Early Modern English text types. Special issue of the European Journal of English Studies*, 5(2), 139-150

591. Taavitsainen, I. (2001). Language history and the scientific register. En H.-J. Diller & M. Görlach (Eds.), *Towards a history of English as a history of genres*. Heidelberg: Universitätsverlag C. Winter, 185-202
592. Taavitsainen, I. (2002). Historical discourse analysis: scientific language and changing thought-styles. En T. Fanego, B. Méndez-Naya & E. Seoane (Eds.), *Sounds, words, texts and change. Selected papers from 11 ICEHL, Santiago de Compostela, 7–11 September 2000. Volume 2*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 201-226
593. Taboada, M., & Lavid, J. (2003). Rhetorical and thematic patterns in scheduling dialogues. *Functions of Language*, 10(2), 147-178
594. Tadros, A. (1993). The pragmatics of text averral and attribution in academic texts. En M. Hoey (Ed.), *Data, description, discourse: Papers on the English language in Honour of John Sinclair*. London: Harper Collins
595. Tarantino, M. (1995). ESP in an interdisciplinary perspective: The source and constituents of scientific communication. Changes in communicative strategies in scientific-technical English. *UNESCO ALSED-LSP Newsletter*, 18, 31-55
596. Thompson, D. K. (1993). Arguing for experimental 'facts' in science: A study of research article results sections in biochemistry. *Written Communication*, 8, 106-128
597. Thompson, G., & Collins, H. M. (2001). Interview with M. A. K. Halliday, Cardiff, July 1998. *DELTA*, 17(1), 131-153. Traducción inédita al español de F. Navarro (2006).
598. Thompson, G., & Yiyun, Y. (1991). Evaluation in the reporting verbs used in academic papers. *Applied Linguistics*, 12, 365-382
599. Thompson, P. (2002). Academic writers putting modal verbs to work. En G. Aston & L. Burnard (Eds.), *Corpora in the description and teaching of English*. Bologna: CLUEB, 25-43
600. Thompson, P. (2002). Modal verbs in academic writing. En B. Ketterman & G. Marco (Eds.), *Teaching and learning by doing corpus analysis*. Amsterdam & New York: Rodopi, 305-328
601. Threadgold, T. (1989). Talking about genre: Ideologies and incompatible discourses. *Cultural Studies*, 3, 101-127
602. Todorov, T. (1976). The origin of genres. *New Literary History*, 6, 159-179
603. Tognini-Bonelli, E., & Lungo Camiciotti, G. d. (Eds.). (2005). *Strategies in academic dicourse*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins
604. Torrance, M., van Waes, L., & Galbraith, D. (2007). *Writing and cognition. Research and applications*: Elsevier

-
605. Tosi, C. (2006). Categorías conceptuales en discursos académicos. En *Actas del VI Congreso Nacional de la Asociación Argentina de Semiótica. Discursos Críticos (Buenos Aires, 12 al 15 de abril de 2005)*. Buenos Aires: Asociación Argentina de Semiótica
606. Trosborg, A. (Ed.). (2000). *Analysing professional genres*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
607. Trosborg, A. (2000). Introduction. En A. Trosborg (Ed.), *Analysing professional genres*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, VII-XVI
608. Tucker, P. (2003). Evaluation in the art-historical research article. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 2(4), 291-312
609. Unger, C. (2006). *Genre, relevance and global coherence*. New York: Palgrave MacMillan
610. Upton, T. A. (2002). Understanding direct mail letters as a genre. *International Journal of Corpus Linguistics*, 7(1), 65-85
611. Upton, T. A., & Connor, U. (2001). Using computerized corpus analysis to investigate the textlinguistic discourse moves of a genre. *English for Specific Purposes*, 20, 313-329
612. Valle, E. (1993). The development of English scientific rhetoric in the philosophical transactions of the Royal Society. *FINLANCE*, 12, 94-124
613. Valle, E. (1999). *A collective intelligence: the life sciences in the Royal Society as a scientific discourse community (1665-1965)*. Turku: University of Turku. Tesis doctoral cedida por la autora.
614. Valle, E. (2003). "Let me not lose your love and friendship": The negotiation of priority and the construction of a scientific identity in seventeenth-century natural history. En Hiltunen & Skaffari (Eds.), *Discourse perspectives on English: Medieval to Modern*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins, 197-234
615. Valle, E. (2004). The pleasure of receiving your favour: the colonial exchange in eighteenth-century natural philosophy. *Journal of Historical Pragmatics*, 5, 313-336
616. Valle, E. (2006). Reporting the doings of the curious: Authors and editors in the Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 1675-1975: A sociohistorical discourse analysis. En N. Brownlees (Ed.), *News discourse in early modern Britain: Selected Papers of CHINED 2004*. Bern: Peter Lang
617. Vallejos Llobet, P. (2000). La "visibilidad" de los colegas a la luz de la gramática. En P. Vallejos Llobet (Ed.), *Prácticas discursivas en la producción del conocimiento científico*. Bahía Blanca: Universidad Nacional del Sur, 101-116
618. Vallejos Llobet, P. (2000). Texto y contexto en el discurso de las ciencias fácticas de principios de siglo en la Argentina. En M. Montserrat (Ed.), *La ciencia en la Argentina de entre siglos*. Buenos
-

Aires: Ediciones Manantial, 233-238

- 619.** Vallejos Llobet, P. (2001/2002). Contribución a la historia del discurso científico en la Argentina: etapas de la estandarización del artículo experimental en el campo de la Física en el primer tercio del siglo XX. *Anuario de Lingüística Hispánica*, XVII/XVIII, 203-219
- 620.** Vallejos Llobet, P. (2004). Los géneros del discurso de las ciencias pedagógicas: Una aproximación contrastiva en el marco histórico de la comunidad científica argentina. *RASAL*, 2, 79-96
- 621.** Vallejos Llobet, P. (2005a). Historia del discurso científico en la Argentina: una institución y sus textos a principios del siglo XX. *Signo & Señal*, 14, 93-114
- 622.** Vallejos Llobet, P. (2005b). El rostro humano de la ciencia: retórica del discurso científico en la Argentina de principios del siglo XX. *Neue Romania*, 32, 17-34
- 623.** Vallejos Llobet, P. (2006). Estilos retóricos y género científico: un caso de texto heteroglósico en la historia del discurso científico en la Argentina. *Páginas de Guarda*, 2
- 624.** Vallejos Llobet, P., & García Zamora, M. (2000). La construcción lingüística de la autoridad en una polémica científica de principios de siglo. En P. Vallejos Llobet (Ed.), *Prácticas discursivas en la producción del conocimiento científico*. Bahía Blanca: Universidad Nacional del Sur, 85-99
- 625.** Vallejos Llobet, P., & García Zamora, M. (2003). La metáfora científica en el discurso historiográfico científicista de principios del siglo XX. En *Actas del IX Congreso de la Sociedad Argentina de Lingüística. Córdoba, 14 al 16 de noviembre de 2002*. Córdoba: Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, editado en CD
- 626.** Vallejos Llobet, P., & García Zamora, M. (2006). Estrategias discursivas para la construcción retórica de la científicidad. En *Actas del VI Congreso Nacional de la Asociación Argentina de Semiótica. Discursos Críticos (Buenos Aires, 12 al 15 de abril de 2005)*. Buenos Aires: Asociación Argentina de Semiótica
- 627.** Vallejos Llobet, P., & Klett, E. (Eds.). (2004). *Comunicación especializada - Enseñanza de lenguas*. RASAL. Buenos Aires: Instituto de Filología
- 628.** Vande Kopple, W. J. (1994). Some characteristics and functions of grammatical subjects in scientific discourse. *Written Communication*, 11, 534-564
- 629.** Varantola, K. (1990). Changes in communicative strategies in scientific-technical English. *UNESCO ALSSED-LSP Newsletter*, 13, 41-50
- 630.** Ventola, E. (1984). Orientation to social semiotics in foreign language teaching. *Applied Linguistics*, 5, 275-286
- 631.** Ventola, E. (1987). *The structure of social interaction: a systemic*

approach to the semiotics of service encounters. London: Pinter Publishers

632. Ventola, E. (1995). Generic and register qualities of texts and their realization. En P. H. Fries & M. Gregory (Eds.), *Discourse in society: Systemic-functional perspectives*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex Publishing Corporation, 3-28
633. Ventola, E. (1997). Modalization: Probability - an exploration into its role in academic writing. En A. Duszak (Ed.), *Culture and styles of academic discourse*. Berlin & New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 157-179
634. Ventola, E. (1999). Interpersonal language realizations in academic texts. Realizaciones del lenguaje interpersonal en textos académicos. En M. E. Araoz Robles, E. Vara, Ana Bertha de la & H. Orozco Estebané (Eds.), *Actas del Primer Congreso Nacional sobre Textos Académicos*. Hermosilla, Sonora: Universidad de Sonora, 11-65
635. Ventola, E., & Mauranen, A. (Eds.). (1996). *Academic writing. Intercultural and textual issues*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins
636. Virtanen, T. (1995). 'Then I saw to antique heddes': discourse strategies in early modern English travelogues. En A. H. Jucker (Ed.), *Historical pragmatics. Pragmatic developments in the history of English*. Amsterdam & Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 499-513
637. Wayt Gibbs, W. (1995). Tendencias en comunicación científica. Ciencia del tercer mundo. *Investigación y Ciencia*, 70-79(diciembre)
638. Weinrich, H. (1995). Wissenschaftssprache, Sprachkultur und die Einheit der Wissenschaften. En L. Kretzenbacher & H. Weinrich (Eds.), *Linguistik der Wissenschaftssprache*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 155-174
639. Weinrich, H., & Kretzenbacher, L. (Eds.). (1995). *Linguistik der Wissenschaftssprache*. Berlin: de Gruyter
640. Whittaker, R., & Martín Rojo, L. (1999). A dialogue with bureaucracy: Register, genre and information management as constraints on interchangeability. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 31, 149-189
641. Yaeger-Dror, M. (2002). Primitives of a system for "style" and "register". En P. Eckert & J. R. Rickford (Eds.), *Style and sociolinguistic variation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 170-184
642. Yates, J., & Orlikowski, W. J. (1992). Genres of organizational communication: a structurational approach to studying communication and media. *Academy of Management Review*, 17, 299-326
643. Young, A. (1999 [1997]). *Teaching writing across the curriculum. 3rd edition*. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Prentice Hall

-
644. Zambrano, N. (1999). *Confrontaciones académicas en el discurso científico escrito en inglés y en francés: un estudio diacrónico*. Maestría, Universidad de Mérida, Mérida
645. Zamel, V., & Spack, R. (Eds.). (1998). *Negotiating academic literacies*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
646. Zappen, J. P. (1991). Scientific rhetoric in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries: Herbert Spencer, Thomas H. Huxley, and John Dewey. En C. Bazerman & J. Paradis (Eds.), *Textual dynamics in the professions: Historical and contemporary studies of writing in professional communities*. Madison, WI: University of Wisconsin Press, 145-170
647. Zhu, W. (2004). Writing in business courses: an analysis of assignment types, their characteristics, and required skills. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23, 111-135
648. Zimmerman, E. N. (1994). On definition and rhetorical genre. En A. Freedman & A. Medway (Eds.), *Genre and the New Rhetoric*. London: Taylor & Francis